arXiv:0706.0377v1 [math.NT] 4 Jun 2007

Λ-ADIC KOLYVAGIN SYSTEMS

KÂZIM BÜYÜKBODUK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study Kolyvagin Systems, as defined in [MR04], over the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-tower for a $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ representation T, which is free of finite rank over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We prove, under certain hypotheses, that the module Kolyvagin Systems over $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is free of rank one over the (cyclotomic) Iwasawa algebra $\mathbf{\Lambda}$. We include a conjectural picture, in the spirit of [PR95] and [Rub00] §8, about how to relate the Kolyvagin Systems to p-adic L-functions. We also study the Iwasawa theory of Stark units via the perspective offered by our main theorem, and provide a strategy to deduce the main conjectures of Iwasawa theory for totally real number fields.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
Acknowledgements 6
2. Core Vertices over $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ 6
2.1. Preliminaries 6
2.2. Hypotheses on T 8
2.3. Cartesian Properties for $(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ 8
2.4. Choosing a set of Kolyvagin primes 15
2.5. The Transverse Condition 16
2.6. Cartesian Property of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)$ 17
2.7. Core Vertices for the Selmer Triple $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ 19
3. Λ-adic Kolyvagin Systems 24
3.1. Kolyvagin Systems over $R_{k,m}$ 24
3.2. Kolyvagin Systems for $T \otimes \Lambda$ 36
4. Applications and Further Discussion 38
4.1. Classical Examples 39
4.2. Λ-adic Kolyvagin Systems and p-adic L-functions 41
4.3. Iwasawa theory of Stark units and Kolyvagin Systems 44
Appendix A. Local Conditions at p over an Iwasawa algebra via (ϕ, Γ)-modules 51
References 54

Key words and phrases. Iwasawa theory, Kolyvagin Systems.

1. Introduction

Kolyvagin's machinery of Euler Systems is a powerful tool to bound the order of Selmer groups. It takes an Euler System (which is a collection of cohomology classes with certain coherence relations) as an input, and produces, using a descent argument, the so called derivative classes and these classes give the bounds we seek for.

There is of course a considerable cost for such a useful tool: Finding an Euler System is an extremely difficult task. There are only a few Euler Sytems known to exist, all of which with great importance, and yet we fail to know if there should be Euler Systems in any other setting than these few number of instances.

However, one may answer an existence problem for derivative classes. In [MR04], the authors isolate the notion of a Kolyvagin system, which has the same formal properties that Kolyvagin's derivative classes have been formerly recognized to have, except that *Kolyvagin Systems* are supposed to enjoy somewhat different local conditions at certain primes than utilized before, for example in Chapter 4 of [Rub00]. This adds to the level of rigidity, in fact one gains control over the size of the module of Kolyvagin Systems.

Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ be the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}$, and T be a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank, endowed with a continuous action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is unramified outside finitely many primes. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote a Selmer structure on T and $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$ a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains the infinite place, p and all primes where T is ramified (see Definition 2.1.1 of [MR04] for a definition of a *Selmer structure*) and let $\mathcal{P}$ denote a set of rational primes disjoint from $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$ which we will occasionally refer to as *Kolyvagin primes.* Let also $\mathcal{X}(T,\mathcal{F})$ denote the core Selmer rank of the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}$ on T, as in Definition 4.1.11 in [MR04].

The following theorem is proved in [MR04] (Corollary 4.5.1 and Corollary 4.5.2) under suitable hypotheses:

Theorem (Howard, Mazur, Rubin)**.** *Let* $\mathbf{KS}(T/p^kT,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{P})$ *be the module of Kolyvagin Systems over* $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ *for* $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$*. Then*

- $\mathbf{KS}(T/p^kT,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{P}) = 0$*, if the core Selmer rank* $\mathcal{X}(T,\mathcal{F})$ *is zero,*
- $\mathbf{KS}(T/p^kT,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{P})$ *is free of rank one over* $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$*, if the core Selmr rank* $\mathcal{X}(T,\mathcal{F})$ *is one,*
- $\mathbf{KS}(T/p^kT,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{P})$ *contains a free submodule of rank* r *over* $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$*, for every* r*, if* $\mathcal{X}(T,\mathcal{F}) > 1$.

Therefore one has an answer when one would hope to be able to apply Kolyvagin's machinery, at least for the residual representations. Further, when the core Selmer rank is one, one can go further and use above result concerning the structure of the module of Kolyvagin Systems to obtain classes for the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation T itself (not only for its quotients T/p^kT), which would essentially have the same use as the derivative classes obtained from an Euler System.

Mazur and Rubin also show (*c.f.* Theorem 5.3.3 in [MR04]) how to obtain Kolyvagin Systems over the cyclotomic Iwasawa algebra starting from an Euler System in the sense of [Rub00]. From that, one expects to be able to prove one divisibility in main conjectures of Iwasawa Theory[1]. Once again, one may wonder how often Kolyvagin system is likely to have some use, in the sense that if there should exist Kolyvagin Systems over an Iwasawa algebra in general. This is what we answer in this paper. Let $T^* = \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1))$ be the Cartier dual of T and let $A = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let also $\mathcal{I}_\ell$ denote a fixed inertia subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ at ℓ, and let $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ be its Galois group, $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$ be the cyclotomic Iwasawa algebra.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ denote the canonical Selmer structure on $T \otimes \Lambda$ (defined as in §2.1 below), and let $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ denote the core Selmer rank of the canonical Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on T (see [MR04] Definition 4.1.11 and Theorem 5.2.15 for a definition).

Let $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda\,, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ denote the Λ-module of Kolyvagin systems for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $T \otimes \Lambda$ (defined as in § 3.2; see also Remark 3.24 for a comparison of this definition to [MR04] Definitions 3.1.3 and 3.1.6), and let $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of Kolyvagin systems for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on T (which has been studied extensively in [MR04] §5.2, see particularly [MR04] Theorem 5.2.12).

The main technical result of this paper (*c.f.* Theorem 3.23) shows that the Kolyvagin Systems exist over the cyclotomic Iwasawa algebra under certain technical hypotheses (see §2.2):

Theorem A. *Assume the hypotheses in §2.2.. Assume further that the core Selmer rank $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ of the canonical Selmer structure on T is one. Then*

- **(i)** *The Λ-module $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda\,, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ of Kolyvagin Systems for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $T \otimes \Lambda$ is free of rank one over Λ.*
- **(ii)** *The specialization map*

$$\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda\,, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$$

is surjective.

---

[1] However one should also relate the Kolyvagin system to the L-values using an independent recipe, which we will briefly discuss in Section 4 below. The necessary tool for that, conjecturally, is Perrin-Riou's *logarithme élargi*, see [PR95].

Any element of $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda\,,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$ will be called a *Λ-adic Kolyvagin system.*

Before we explain our strategy to prove above theorem, we would first like to discuss its consequences in several aspects. When $T=\mathbb{Z}_p(1)\otimes\chi^{-1}$, where χ is an even Dirichlet character, the Kolyvagin system Theorem A proves to exist comes from the cyclotomic unit Euler system (via the Euler system to Kolyvagin system map of Mazur and Rubin, *c.f.* [MR04] Theorem 5.3.3). In fact our theorem shows that the Kolyvagin system which arises from the cyclotomic units Euler system is the "best" possible in this setting, in a certain sense. See §4.1.1 for more details.

When $E_{/\mathbb{Q}}$ is an elliptic curve without CM such that

- p is coprime to all its Tamagawa factors,
- p is not anomalous for E (in the sense of Mazur [Maz72]),

and $T=T_p(E)$ is its p-adic Tate module, the Kolyvagin system Theorem A proves to exist comes from Kato's [Kat04a] Euler system (again via the Euler system to Kolyvagin system map of Mazur and Rubin). And again our theorem shows that the Kolyvagin system which arises from Kato's Euler system is the "best" possible in this setting. See §4.1.2 for more details.

Besides these now-classical examples we mentioned above, we also discuss two other applications of Theorem A. First, in §4.2, we study the relation of Λ-adic Kolyvagin systems to p-adic L-functions using the rigidity offered by our main result. In general, we expect that a certain Λ-adic Kolyvagin system would recover an appropriate p-adic L-function, after applying Perrin-Riou's [PR94b] logarithme élargi on the "leading" term of the Λ-adic Kolyvagin system. On the other hand Rubin describes in [Rub00] §8 (see also §4.2 below) how to construct an Euler system using Perrin-Riou's [PR95] *module of p-adic L-functions* (whose existence at this stage is highly conjectural). This Euler system, directly coming from p-adic L-functions, would give rise to a Λ-adic Kolyvagin system using the Euler system to Kolyvagin system map of Mazur and Rubin ([MR04] Theorem 5.3.3) and the first part of Theorem A implies that the cohomology classes that we show to exist in this paper should be related (up to multiplication by an element of Λ) to the cohomology classes that Rubin predicts to exist ([Rub00] Conjecture 8.2.6), which themselves come from the p-adic L-functions. Also, Theorem A can be taken as an evidence to afore mentioned conjectures of Perrin-Riou and Rubin, as it proves a consequence of these conjectures, namely the existence of Λ-adic Kolyvagin systems.

Next in §4.3, we study the Iwasawa theory of Stark units from the perspective offered by Theorem A. Let F be a totally real number field, and $r = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let χ be an *even* character of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ (*i.e.* it is trivial on all complex conjugations inside $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$) into $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ that has finite order, and let f_χ be its conductor. We assume that $p \nmid f_\chi$ and $\chi(\wp) \neq 1$ for any prime $\wp$ of F over p. Assume also for notational simplicity that p is unramified in $F/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$ again, but now considered as a $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$-representation. The main difficulty in this setting is that the core Selmer rank is greater than one. We show first how to overcome this difficulty constructing an auxiliary Selmer structure (denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ in §4.3.1) modifying the local conditions at p, which is adjusted to have core Selmer rank one. For the construction of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$, we utilize a structure theorem for the semi-local cohomology group $H^1(F_p, T \otimes \Lambda)$ due to Benois [Ben00] (see also Appendix A). Once the auxiliary Selmer structure is constructed, we apply Theorem A to show the existence of Λ-adic Kolyvagin systems for these modified Selmer structures. On the other hand, Rubin [Rub96] shows that Stark elements give rise to an Euler system in this setting. If we apply Mazur and Rubin's *Euler system to Kolyvagin system map*, we unfortunately only obtain a Λ-adic Kolyvagin system for the canonical Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $T \otimes \Lambda$, which is coarser than our modified Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$. We speculate on how to obtain a Λ-adic Kolyvagin system for the modified Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ from the Stark elements, based on the methods of [Büy07a], and on how to deduce the main conjectures from this Λ-adic Kolyvagin systems. In a future paper [Büy07c], we will extend the methods of [Büy07a] to verify all the speculative claims in Section 4.3.

Let us now give a brief outline of the proof of Theorem A. Let γ be a topological generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. First step proving Theorem A is to construct (in §2.4) a collection of useful primes $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ for each representation $T_{k,m} := T \otimes \Lambda/(p^k, (\gamma-1)^m)$, which are compatible in a certain sense to be made precise; then to prove (in §2.7) the existence of *core vertices* for the canonical Selmer structure on $T_{k,m}$. Here, a *core vertex* is a square free integer n which is a product of primes in $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ such that $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ is free of rank one over $\Lambda/(p^k, (\gamma-1)^m)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m}) = 0$. See [MR04] Example 2.1.8 for a definition of the modified Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)$.

Next, we prove (in §3.1), mainly following Howard's arguments in Appendix B of [MR04], that there is a canonical isomorphism from the $\Lambda/(p^k, (\gamma-1)^m)$-module of Kolyvagin systems $\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ for the canonical Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $T_{k,m}$, onto $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$, for any core vertex n. Furthermore these isomorphisms are compatible with the base change maps $T_{k,m} \to T_{k',m'}$, which allows us to construct (in Section 3.2) the sought after classes in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T \otimes \Lambda)$.

Theorem A, particularly the second part, can be interpreted as a deformation theoretical result: We basically prove that a Kolyvagin system for the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation T can be deformed to a Λ-adic Kolyvagin system for the *cyclotomic deformation* $T \otimes \Lambda$ of T. Although we only consider the cyclotomic deformations of Galois representations in this paper, our methods apply exactly the same way for other deformations of T, as long as the deformation ring is a power series ring (not necessarily in one variable) over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. For example, under the assumptions of [Och05], an appropriate analogue for Theorem A shows that Kato's Euler system can be deformed to a *big* Euler system for the nearly ordinary modular deformations of the relevant Galois representation.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to my Ph.D. advisor Karl Rubin, for suggesting the problem and for many insightful discussions.

2. Core Vertices over $\mathbf{\Lambda}$

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Let T be a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank, on which the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts continuously, and let $V = T \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, and $A = V/T$. We will denote $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ by $\mathcal{D}_\ell$, whenever we would like to identify this group by a closed subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$; namely with a particular decomposition group at ℓ in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We further define $\mathcal{I}_\ell \subset \mathcal{D}_\ell$ to be the inertia group and $\mathrm{Fr}_\ell \in \mathcal{D}_\ell/\mathcal{I}_\ell$ to be the arithmetic Frobenius element at ℓ. We also write $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ for the maximal unramified subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$, completed group ring of $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$, where $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ denotes the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Fixing a topological generator γ of Γ we may identify Λ by the power series ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\gamma - 1]]$ in one variable over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and we will often do so, denoting $\gamma - 1$ by $\mathbf{X}$. We will consider modules $T_f := T \otimes \Lambda/(f)$ and $T_{k,f} := T \otimes \Lambda/(p^k, f)$, where k is a positive integer and f is an element of Λ such that the quotient $\Lambda/(f)$ is free of finite rank over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (such an element f of Λ will be called a *distinguished polynomial*). We will let $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ act on the tensor products above on both components. Note that, since the inertia subgroup $\mathcal{I}_\ell$ acts trivially on Λ for $\ell \neq p$, T_f (and $T_{k,f}$) is ramified at ℓ if and only if T (and T/p^kT) is ramified at ℓ.

We first recall a definition from [MR04] §2.

Definition 2.1. Let M be any $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_\mathbb{Q}]]$ module. A *Selmer structure* $\mathcal{F}$ on M is a collection of the following data:

- a finite set $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$ of places of $\mathbb{Q}$, including ∞, p, and all primes where M is ramified.
- for every $\ell \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F})$ a local condition (in the sense of [MR04] Definition 1.1.1) on M (which we now view as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathcal{D}_\ell]]$-module), i.e., a choice of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M)$$

If $\ell \notin \Sigma(\mathcal{F})$ we will also write $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) = H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M)$, *finite* part of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M)$ (see [MR04] Definition 1.1.6 for a definition of other types of local conditions that we will utilize throughout this paper).

Definition 2.2. A *Selmer triple* is a triple $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is a Selmer structure on T and $\mathcal{P}$ is a set of rational primes, disjoint from $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ be the *canonical Selmer structure* on $T \otimes \Lambda/(f)$ (and the Selmer structure on $T \otimes \Lambda/(p^k, f)$ which we obtain by *propagating* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$, as described in [MR04] Example 1.1.2, to these quotients):

◆ $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) = \{\ell : T \text{ is ramified at } \ell\} \cup \{p, \infty\}$.

◆ Local conditions are given by

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_f) & , & \text{if } \ell = p \\ H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) & , & \text{if } \ell \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) - \{p, \infty\} \\ H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) & , & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) = \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)\}$$

and for any $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}]]$-module M

$$H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) = \ker[H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}, M)] = H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M^{\mathcal{I}_\ell})$$

as in [MR04] Definitions 1.1.6 and 3.2.1.

We also denote the Selmer structure on $T_{k,f}$ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$, which is obtained by propagating the local conditions given by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on T_f to $T_{k,f}$.

The main objective of this Section is to prove under the assumptions listed below, the existence of *core vertices* for the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $T_{k,m} := T_{k,\mathbf{X}^m}$ (see Theorem 2.26),.

We will often make use of the following notation from [MR04]. For a definition of the local condition $H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M)$ (*transverse* condition) for a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathcal{D}_\ell]]$-module M that appears below, see [MR04] Definition 1.1.6 (also Definition 2.19 below).

Definition 2.3. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be pairwise coprime, and assume that c is not divisible by any primes in $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$. Then we write $\mathcal{F}_b^a(c)$ for the Selmer structure defined by

- $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_b^a(c)) = \Sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cup \{\ell : \ell \mid abc\}$
- $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_b^a(c)}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) = \begin{cases} H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) & , \text{ if } \ell \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F}) \text{ and } \ell \nmid ab \\ 0 & , \text{ if } \ell \mid a \\ H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) & , \text{ if } \ell \mid b \\ H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M) & , \text{ if } \ell \mid c \end{cases}$

If any of a, b, c are equal to 1, we will supress them from the notation.

2.2. Hypotheses on T.

Basically, we will be assuming all the hypothesis introduced in section 3.5 of [MR04], except for **H.5** and **H.6**:

(**H.1**) T/pT is an absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})]$ representation.

(**H.2**) There is a $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\tau = 1$ on μ_{p^∞} and $T/(\tau - 1)T$ is free of rank one over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

(**H.3**) $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(T, \mu_{p^\infty}), T/pT) = H^1(\mathbb{Q}(T, \mu_{p^\infty}), T^*[p]) = 0.$

(**H.4**) Either

(**H.4a**) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})]]}(T/pT, T^*[p]) = 0$, or

(**H.4b**) $p > 4$.

In addition to the hypothesis **(H.1-4)**, we will need the following assumptions for the main results of this paper:

($\mathbb{H}$.**T**) [*Tamagawa Condition*] $A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}$ is divisible for any $\ell \neq p$.

($\mathbb{H}$.**sEZ**) [*Strong (no-) Exceptional Zero-like Condition*] $(T^*)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is *zero.*

We will also define the following weaker version of ($\mathbb{H}$.**sEZ**):

($\mathbb{H}$.**EZ**) [*(no-) Exceptional Zero Condition*] $(T^*)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is finite.

We will later define (Definition 2.19) the *transverse* local conditions for $T_{k,m}$ at suitably chosen set of rational primes $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ (see §2.4 below); and check the analogues of hypotheses **H.5** and **H.6** of [MR04] §3.5 for $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)$, for integers n chosen from the set

$$\mathcal{N}_{k,m} := \{\text{square free product of primes in } \mathcal{P}_{k,m}\}$$

2.3. Cartesian Properties for $(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{can}})$.

Recall that an element $f \in \Lambda$ is called a distinguished polynomial if $\Lambda/(f)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{k,f}\}$ denote the collection of quotients of $T \otimes \Lambda$ where f ranges over distinguished polynomials and k over non-negaitve integers. We also set $T_{k,f} = T_f$ when $k = \infty$.

We next define what it means for a Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}$ to be *cartesian* on $\mathcal{T}$.

Definition 2.4. A local condition $\mathcal{F}$ is *cartesian* on $\mathcal{T}$ if it satisfies the following conditions for all places ℓ of $\mathbb{Q}$:

(C1) (Functoriality) If f and g are distinguished polynomial and $k \leq k'$ are positive integers then

(C1.a) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ is the image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k',f})$ under the canonical map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k',f}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}), \text{ and}$$

(C1.b) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ is the image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg})$ under the canonical map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}).$$

(C2) (Cartesian condition over polynomials) If f and g are distinguished polynomials and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ is the inverse image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg})$ under the natural map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg})$, which is induced from the injection $T_{k,f} \xrightarrow{[g]} T_{k,fg}$, where $[g]$ stands for multiplication by g.

(C3) (Cartesian condition over powers of p) If f is a distinguished polynomial and $k \leq k'$ are positive integers, then $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ is the inverse image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k',f})$ under the natural map $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k',f})$, which is induced from the injection $T_{k,f} \xrightarrow{[p^{k'-k}]} T_{k',f}$, where $[p^{k'-k}]$ is the multiplication by $p^{k'-k}$ map.

Condition **C1** can be replaced by the weaker

(C1′) (weak Functoriality) If f and g are distinguished polynomial and $k \leq k'$ are positive integers then

(C1′.a) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ lies inside the image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k',f})$ under the canonical map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k',f}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}), \text{ and}$$

(C1′.b) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ lies inside the image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg})$ under the canonical map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}).$$

which is sufficient for most of our purposes. When a Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies **C1′**, **C2** and **C3** we still say $\mathcal{F}$ is *cartesian* on $\mathcal{T}$.

In this section, we will check the cartesian properties for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ (and for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)$, once we define what it is in §2.5) on the collection of quotients $\mathcal{T}$ of $T \otimes \Lambda$. Recall that by propagating $\mathcal{F}_{can}$ on T_f we obtained a local condition (which we still denote by $\mathcal{F}_{can}$) on each $T_{k,f}$. Note that the condition **C1.a** of Definition 2.4 is satisfied for $\mathcal{F}_{can}$, by the very definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathcal{T}$.

Since we assume $p > 2$, $H^1(\mathbb{R}, T) = 0$, hence the local local conditions at the infinite place will forced to be *zero*. We therefore trivially have the cartesian property at ∞, regardless of the choice of Selmer structure.

2.3.1. *Cartesian property at $\ell \neq p$.* Throughout this section ℓ denotes a rational prime other than p and we assume $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$, namely that $A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}$ is divisible for all $\ell \neq p$. Note that if $\ell \notin \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ then $A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} = A$ is already divisible (since the representation T is unramified at ℓ), so $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ is satisfied for primes $\ell \notin \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$.

Lemma 2.5.

For all distinguished polynomials $f \in \Lambda$, $A_f^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}$ is p-divisible.

Proof. Since $\ell \neq p$, $\mathcal{I}_\ell$ acts trivially on $\Lambda/(f)$, which means $A_f^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} = A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(f)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let V_f denote the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ vector space $T_f \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, and A_f denote V_f/T_f. Note that there is a natural injection $T_{k,f} \to A_f$; in fact we may identify the image of $T_{k,f}$ under this injection by the p^k-torsion subgroup $A_f[p^k]$ of A_f.

Recall the definition of the unramified local cohomology group

$$H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_f) := \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_f) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell, V_f)\} \cong H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_f^{\mathcal{I}_\ell})$$

where the isomorphism above follows from Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. We define $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)$ as the image of $H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_f)$ under the natural map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_f) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f).$$

We further define $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ as the inverse image of $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)$ under the map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)$$

which is induced from the injection $T_{k,f} \hookrightarrow A_f$.

Recall that the propagation $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ of the local condition $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ at ℓ is the image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) = H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)$ under the natural map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$.

Lemma 2.6.[Lemma 1.3.8(i) of [Rub00]] $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) = H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$.

Lemma 2.7.[Lemma 1.3.5 of [Rub00]] *For any rational prime $\ell \neq p$ the following sequences are exact:*

(i) $0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_f/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)\mathcal{W}_f \longrightarrow 0$

(ii) $0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_f^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell=1} \longrightarrow 0$

where $\mathcal{W}_f = A_f^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}/(A_f^{\mathcal{I}_\ell})_{\mathrm{div}}$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.7 we obtain

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose* $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ *holds. Then* $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f) = H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)$ *and* $H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) = H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)$ *for all* $\ell \neq p$.

Corollary 2.9. *Assume* $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ *holds. Then* $H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$ *is the image of* $H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)$ *under the map*

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})$$

restricted to $H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \arrow[r, phantom, "="] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{image}(H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)) \arrow[r, phantom, "="] & \mathrm{image}(H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)) \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \\
H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \arrow[r, equal] & \iota_{k,f}^{-1}(H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)) \arrow[r, equal] & \iota_{k,f}^{-1}(H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)) \arrow[r, phantom, "\supset"] & H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f})
\end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that all the inequalities above are in fact equalities. This completes the proof. ☐

Proposition 2.10. *Local conditions determined by the canonical Selmer structure* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ *are cartesian on* $\mathcal{T}$ *(in the sense of Definition 2.4) at all primes* $\ell \neq p$.

Proof. As we have remarked earlier, **C1.a** is satisfied by the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathcal{T}$.

C1.b also follows from Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 2.9.

We next check **C2**. Suppose f and g are any distinguished polynomials. Let V_f and A_f be as above. Then we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)}{H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)} \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg}) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg}) \arrow[r] & \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_{fg})}{H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_{fg})}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

Rows are exact by Lemma 2.6. The vertical maps are all induced from the multiplication by g map

$$[g] : \Lambda/(f) \longrightarrow \Lambda/(fg)$$

Now using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and using the fact that the cohomological dimension of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is one, we obtain the following diagram:

(2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\,, A_f)}{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f(\mathcal{I}_\ell))} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}, A_f)^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell=1} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell, A_f)^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell=1} \arrow[d] \\
\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\,, A_{fg})}{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_{fg}(\mathcal{I}_\ell))} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{unr}}, A_{fg})^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell=1} \arrow[r, equal] & H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell, A_{fg})^{\mathrm{Frob}_\ell=1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $A_f(\mathcal{I}_\ell) = A_f^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}$ (and similarly $A_{fg}(\mathcal{I}_\ell) = A_{fg}^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}$). Further, $\mathcal{I}_\ell$ cohomology of the exact sequence

(3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A_f \arrow[d, equal] & & A_{fg} \arrow[d, equal] & & A_g \arrow[d, equal] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \otimes \Lambda/(f) \arrow[rr, "{[g]}"] & & A \otimes \Lambda/(fg) \arrow[rr] & & A \otimes \Lambda/(g) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

(which exists because of the division algorithm for distinguished polynomials, see §7 of [Was82]) gives, using the proof of Lemma 2.5,

$$0 \longrightarrow A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(f) \xrightarrow{[g]} A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(fg) \longrightarrow A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(g)$$

Also, since tensoring with $A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}$ is right exact, the very right map in the above exact sequence is in fact surjective, thus the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(f) \xrightarrow{[g]} A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(fg) \longrightarrow A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(g) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4}$$

Further the $\mathcal{I}_\ell$-cohomology of the exact sequence (3) and the exact sequence (4) give rise to the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell\,, A_{fg}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell\,, A_g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell\,, A_f) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell\,, A_{fg}) \\
A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(fg) \arrow[r] & A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell} \otimes \Lambda/(g) \arrow[r] & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This shows that the map $H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell\,, A_f) \to H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell\,, A_{fg})$ and thus the map on the right hand side in the diagram 2 is injective (so also the map on the left hand side), hence

$$H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\,, A_f) = \ker\left[H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\,, A_f) \longrightarrow \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\,, A_{fg})}{H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\,, A_{fg})}\right] \tag{5}$$

Now, since we assumed $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$, it follows by Corollary 2.8 that

$$H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f) = H^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f)$$

for all distinguished polynomials f. This in return implies, using (5)

$$H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f) = \ker\left[H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_f) \longrightarrow \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_{fg})}{H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, A_{fg})}\right]$$

But this means that the rightmost map in (1) is injective, therefore

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) = \ker\left[H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,f}) \longrightarrow \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg})}{H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,fg})}\right]$$

which is property **C2** in Definition 2.4.

To check property **C3** in Definition 2.4 note that by the definition of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)$ we have an injection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_f)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_f)$$

which shows that $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_f)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-torsion free. Property **C3** now follows from Lemma 3.7.1(i) of [MR04]. □

2.3.2. *Cartesian property at p.* In this section we verify that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ is cartesian on

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \{T_{k,\mathbf{X}^m} : k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \subset \mathcal{T}.$$

We will use $T_{k,m}$ to denote $T_{k,\mathbf{X}^m}$ for notational convenience. We will also write T_m instead of $T_{\mathbf{X}^m}$.

Throughout this section we assume ($\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$).

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose* $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$ *holds. Then* $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T\otimes\Lambda) = 0$.

Proof. By local duality, $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T^*) = 0$ if and only if $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) = 0$. Also, since the cohomological dimension of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is 2, it follows that

$$H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T\otimes\Lambda)/(\gamma-1)H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T\otimes\Lambda) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) = 0.$$

Proof of the lemma follows now by Nakayama's lemma. □

Proposition 2.12. *Local conditions at p determined by the canonical Selmer structure* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ *are cartesian on* $\mathcal{T}_0$ *(in the sense of Definition 2.4; but* $\mathcal{T}$ *replaced by* $\mathcal{T}_0$*).*

Proof. Note that **C1.a** is satisfied by the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_0$.

The exact sequence

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T\otimes\Lambda) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_m) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T\otimes\Lambda)[(\gamma-1)^m]$$

implies, by Lemma 2.11,

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_m)=H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_m)=\mathrm{image}(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,T\otimes\Lambda)).$$

Further, the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-cohomology of the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow T_m\xrightarrow{[p^k]}T_m\longrightarrow T_{k,m}\longrightarrow 0$$

shows that

$$\mathrm{coker}(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_m)\longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_{k,m}))=H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_m)[p^k]$$

Since the cohomological dimension of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is 2, it follows that

$$H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_m)\cong H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T\otimes\Lambda)/(\gamma-1)^mH^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T\otimes\Lambda),$$

and this is *zero* by Lemma 2.11. These arguments prove that

$$\begin{aligned}H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_{k,m}) &= H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_{k,m})= \\ &= \mathrm{image}(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,T\otimes\Lambda)\longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_{k,m}))\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

It now follows from (6) that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ satisfies **C1.b**, **C2** and **C3**.

□

Remark 2.13. For condition **C2** to be satisfied $\mathbb{H}$.**EZ** alone is not sufficient; we indeed need to assume $\mathbb{H}$.**sEZ** holds true. This is what we check in this paragraph. Suppose $\mathbb{H}$.**EZ** holds and **C2** is satisfied. We will show that then $\mathbb{H}$.**sEZ** holds as well.

We have the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p.T_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\mathbf{X}^m]}"] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p.T_{k,1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\mathbf{X}^m]}"] & H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_1)[p^k] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\mathbf{X}^m]}"] & 0 \\
H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p.T_{m+1}) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p.T_{k,m+1}) \arrow[r] & H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_{m+1})[p^k] \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

If **C.2** is true, then this means the map on the very right is injective. Set $M=H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T\otimes\Lambda)$. It is well known that M is a finitely generated torsion Λ-module (*c.f.* [PR94b] Proposition 3.2.1). Let $\mathrm{char}(M)$ denote the characteristic ideal of M. Then $\mathbb{H}$.**EZ** essentially is equivalent to saying that $\mathbf{X}$ does not divide $\mathrm{char}(M)$; which in return implies that $M/\mathbf{X}^rM$ is finite for all $r\in\mathbb{Z}^+$.

On the other hand, since the cohomological dimension of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is 2,

$$H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_1)\cong M/\mathbf{X}M,\text{ and }H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p,T_{m+1})\cong M/\mathbf{X}^{m+1}M$$

canonically; hence the map is the map on the very right is

$$[\mathbf{X}^m]:\{M/\mathbf{X}M\}[p^k]\longrightarrow\{M/\mathbf{X}^{m+1}M\}[p^k]$$

Further, by above remarks, one can choose k large enough so that

$$\{M/\mathbf{X}M\}[p^k]=M/\mathbf{X}M,\ \{M/\mathbf{X}^{m+1}M\}[p^k]=M/\mathbf{X}^{m+1}M.$$

Now the kernel of the map on the very right is $(M[\mathbf{X}^m]+\mathbf{X}M)/\mathbf{X}M$ (for sufficiently large k), which has to be trivial since the map on the right is injective. This means $M[\mathbf{X}^m]\subset \mathbf{X}M$ for all m. Let $M[\mathbf{X}^\infty]:=\cup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^+}M[\mathbf{X}^m]$. Then $M[\mathbf{X}^\infty]\subset\mathbf{X}M$; which shows that $M[\mathbf{X}^\infty]$ is in fact $\mathbf{X}$-divisible. But since M is finitely generated this is impossible unless $M=0$, i.e. unless $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$ holds.

2.4. **Choosing a set of Kolyvagin primes.** In this section we show how to choose the set of primes $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ as promised at the end of §2.2. Let τ be as in hypothesis **H.2**. Given positive integers k,m we define

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,m}:=\{\ell:\mathrm{Fr}_\ell \text{ is conjugate to } \tau \text{ in } \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(T/p^{k+m}T,\mu_{p^{k+m+1}})/\mathbb{Q})\}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}(T/p^{k+m}T,\mu_{p^{k+m+1}})$ is the fixed field in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the kernel of the map

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}}\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(T/p^{k+m}T)\oplus\mathrm{Aut}(\mu_{p^{k+m+1}}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ depends only on $k+m$; we therefore define $\mathcal{P}_{k+m}:=\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$. We set $\mathcal{P}:=\mathcal{P}_2=\mathcal{P}_{1,1}$. Note also that $\mathcal{P}_j\subset\mathcal{P}_i$ for all $j>i$.

Remark 2.14. If $\ell\in\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$, then by definition Fr_ℓ is conjugate to τ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{k+m+1}})/\mathbb{Q})$ hence

$$\ell\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^{k+m+1})$$

since $\tau=1$ on $\mu_{p^{k+m+1}}$.

Lemma 2.15. *Suppose* $\ell\in\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$. *Then* Fr_ℓ *acts trivially on* $\Lambda/(p^k,\mathbf{X}^m)$.

Proof. Let γ be the fixed topological generator of Γ such that $\gamma-1$ corresponds to $\mathbf{X}$ under the identification of Λ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbf{X}]]$. Fr_ℓ acts on Λ through its reduction $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})=\Gamma$. Let $\overline{\mathrm{Fr}}_\ell$ be the image of Fr_ℓ under this map, then since Fr_ℓ is conjugate to τ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(T/p^kT\,,\mu_{p^{k+m+1}})/\mathbb{Q})$ (thence in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{k+m}/\mathbb{Q})$ as well) it follows that $\overline{\mathrm{Fr}}_\ell=\gamma^\alpha$, and α has p-adic valuation at least $k+m$, because $\tau=1$ on $\mu_{p^{k+m+1}}$. Note further that

$$\gamma^\alpha=(\gamma-1+1)^\alpha\equiv(\gamma-1)^\alpha+1\equiv 1 \text{ mod } (p^k,(\gamma-1)^m)$$

which completes the proof the Lemma.

□

Lemma 2.16. *For any* $\ell\in\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$, $T_{k,m}/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell-1)T_{k,m}$ *is free of rank one over* $\Lambda/(p^k,\mathbf{X}^m)$.

Proof. By lemma 2.15

$$(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,m} = [(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)(T/p^kT)] \otimes \Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m)$$

But $(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)(T/p^kT) = (p^kT + (\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T)/p^kT$, so

$$(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,m} = (p^kT + (\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T)/p^kT \otimes \Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m) \tag{7}$$

Since $\Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, the functor $[- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda/\mathbf{X}^m]$ is exact. Therefore, it follows from (7) that

$$T_{k,m}/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,m} = T/(p^kT + (\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T) \otimes \Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m) \tag{8}$$

The assumption on ℓ that Fr_ℓ is conjugate to τ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(T/p^kT)\,,\mathbb{Q})$ together with the hypothesis **H.2** shows that $T/(p^kT + (\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T)$ is free of rank one over $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$. Now using (8) the proof of the Lemma follows.

□

Note that, by the observation in Remark 2.14 it follows that

$$|\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times| \cdot T_{k,m} = (\ell - 1) \cdot T_{k,m} = 0,$$

hence all the results proved in Section 1.2 of [MR04] holds with the choice $R = \Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^m)$ and the free R-module $T_{k,m}$ of finite rank. We record these results for future reference:

Lemma 2.17.[Compare to [MR04] Lemma 1.2.1] *Assume $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$. There are canonical functorial isomorphisms*

- **(i)** $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \cong T_{k,m}/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,m}$,
- **(ii)** $H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \cong T_{k,m}^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell = 1}$

Lemma 2.18.[Compare to [MR04] Lemma1.2.3] *Assume $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$. Then the finite-singular comparison map (for a definition see* [MR04] *Definition 1.2.2) $\phi_\ell^{\mathrm{fs}} : H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \rightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ is an isomorphism. In particular both $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$ are free of rank one over $\Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^m)$.*

2.5. **The Transverse Condition.** In this section we define and study the properties of the *transverse condition* at primes $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$. Compare the facts we record in this section to [MR04] Definition 1.1.6(iv), §1.2 and Lemma 3.7.4.

Definition 2.19. Let μ_ℓ denote group ℓ-th roots of unity inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. The submodule

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\mu_\ell)/\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)}}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$$

chosen as the local condition at ℓ is called the *transverse condition*. We denote this submodule by $H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$.

As we remarked in the previous section, if $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$, then results from Section 1.2 of [MR04] still apply. In particular:

Lemma 2.20. *The transverse subgroup* $H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$ *projects isomorphically onto* $H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$ *in the exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow 0$$

In other words, above sequence has functorial splitting

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) = H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$$

2.6. Cartesian Property of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{can}}(n)$.

Set

$$\mathcal{N}_{k,m} := \{\text{square free product of primes in } \mathcal{P}_{k,m}\}.$$

In this section we verify that the modified Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)$ (recall Definition 2.3) is cartesian on the collection of Λ-modules $\mathcal{T}_{k,m} = \{T_{l,n}\}_{l \leq k, n \leq m}$, for all $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$.

Proposition 2.21. [Compare to [MR04] Lemma 3.7.4] *The transverse condition at* $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ *is cartesian on* $\mathcal{T}_{k,m}$.

Proof. Let $n \leq N \leq m$ and $l \leq L \leq k$ be positive integers. For $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ we have, by Lemma 2.20, the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,N}) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,N}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,N}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}) \arrow[r] & H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by canonical surjection

$$T_{L,N} \twoheadrightarrow T_{l,n}.$$

This diagram shows that

$$H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,N}) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,N}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,N}))$$

is mapped into

$$H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}))$$

under the map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,N}) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n})$. This shows that the transverse condition satisfies $\mathbf{C1'}$.

Again using Lemma 2.20, we have the following commutative diagram, where the isomorphisms on the right are from Lemma 2.17:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n}) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, "{[\mathbf{X}^{N-n}]}"] & H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n}) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "{[\mathbf{X}^{N-n}]}"] & T_{k,n}/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,n} \arrow[d, "{[\mathbf{X}^{N-n}]}"] \\
H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N}) \arrow[r, two heads] & H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N}) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & T_{k,N}/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,N}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

Since $T_{k,n}/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,n}$ (resp.$T_{k,N}/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)T_{k,N}$) is free of rank one over $\Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^n)$ (resp. over $\Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^N)$) by Lemma 2.18, and the map

$$[\mathbf{X}^{N-n}] : \Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^n) \longrightarrow \Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^N)$$

is injective, it follows that the the vertical map on the right is injective. So if $c \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n})$ and $[\mathbf{X}^{N-n}]$c projects to *zero* in $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N})$, then c projects to *zero* in $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n})$, i.e.

$$c \in \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n})\} = H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n})$$

provided

$$[\mathbf{X}^{N-n}]c \in \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N})\} = H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N})$$

This shows that

$$H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n}) = \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,n}) \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{X}^{N-n}]} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N})/H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,N})\}$$

which is property (**C.2**) for the transverse condition on $\mathcal{T}_{k,m}$.

One can similarly prove, for positive integers $l \le L \le k$, that

$$H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}) = \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{l,n}) \xrightarrow{[p^{L-l}]} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,n})/H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{L,n})\}$$

for all $n \le m$, which is property (**C.3**) for the transverse condition on $\mathcal{T}_{k,m}$. □

Using Propositions 2.10, 2.12 and 2.21 we obtain the following

Corollary 2.22. *Suppose $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)$ is cartesian on $\mathcal{T}_{k,m}$.*

Remark 2.23. Corollary 2.22 has the following consequences for the Selmer modules:

Suppose $k \ge L \ge l$ and $m \ge S \ge s$ are positive integers, and $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$. Then

(i) The image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,S})$ lies in $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{l,s})$ under the natural map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,S}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{l,s})$$

(ii) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,s})$ is the pre-image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,S})$ under the map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,s}) \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{X}^{S-s}]} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,S}) \text{ , and}$$

$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{l,S})$ is the pre-image of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,S})$ under the map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{l,S}) \xrightarrow{[p^{L-l}]} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{L,S}).$$

2.7. **Core Vertices for the Selmer Triple $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{can}}, T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$.** Throughout this section we assume hypotheses **H.1-H.4** as well as $\mathbb{H}$.**T** and $\mathbb{H}$.**sEZ**. Let $\overline{T} := T/pT \cong T_{k,m}/(p, \mathbf{X})T_{k,m} = T_{1,1}$ be the residual representation.

Definition 2.24. If $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ and

- $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) = 0$,
- $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ is a free $R_{k,m} := \Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^m)$-module,

we say that n is a *core vertex* (for the Selmer triple $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$).

By [MR04] Corollary 4.1.9, one can find core vertices $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ for the Selmer triple $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, \overline{T} = T_{1,1}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$.

Definition 2.25. The *core Selmer rank* of the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $T_{1,1}$ is the dimension of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{1,1})$ for any core vertex n. By Theorem 4.1.10 of [MR04], this definition makes sense. We denote the core Selmer rank for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on T by $\mathcal{X}(\overline{T}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$.

We assume until the end of this paper that the core Selmer rank $\mathcal{X}(\overline{T}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ of the canonical Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\overline{T}$ is *one* (except for §4.3 where we construct another Selmer structure with core Selmer rank one). Making use of the afore mentioned Corollary 4.1.9 of [MR04] we will construct *core vertices* for the Selmer triple $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$:

Theorem 2.26. *Let n be a core vertex for the Selmer triple $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, \overline{T}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$. Suppose the core Selmer rank $\mathcal{X}(\overline{T}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ of the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\overline{T}$ is one. Then*

(i) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ *is free of rank one over* $\Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^m)$,
(ii) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m}) = 0$.

We remind the reader that an integer $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ satisfying the conditions **(i)** and **(ii)** in Theorem 2.26 will be called a *core vertex* for the Selmer triple $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$.

Before proving this theorem, we will need several preliminary results. Recall that hypotheses **H.1-H.4** as well as $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$ are in effect throughout this section, as well as the assumption that $\mathcal{X}(\overline{T},\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})=1$.

Lemma 2.27. *Suppose* $n\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$. *Then the injection*

$$[p^{k-1}]:\,T_{1,m}=T\otimes\Lambda/(p,\mathbf{X}^m)\longrightarrow T\otimes\Lambda/(p^k,\mathbf{X}^m)=T_{k,m}$$

induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{rccc} [p^{k-1}]: & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{1,m}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})[p], \\ [p^{k-1}]: & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{1,m}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})[p] \end{array}$$

Proof. Set $\mathbb{T}:=T\otimes\Lambda$ and let $\mathcal{M}:=(p,\mathbf{X})$ be the maximal ideal of Λ. Then note that $\mathbb{T}/\mathcal{M}\mathbb{T}=T/pT$, therefore, since we assumed **H.3**, it follows $(\mathbb{T}/\mathcal{M}\mathbb{T})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}=0$. Then, by Lemma 2.1.4 of [MR04], $S^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}=0$ for every subquotient S of $\mathbb{T}$ as well. In particular $T_{k,m}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}=0$ for all positive integers k,m.

Now the cohomology of the exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & T_{1,m} \arrow[r, "p^{k-1}"] & T_{k,m} \arrow[r] & T_{k-1,m} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & 0 \arrow[r] & T_{k-1,m} \arrow[r, "p"] & T_{k,m}
\end{tikzcd}$$

gives (together with the vanishing of $S^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ for the relevant quotients of $\mathbb{T}$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{1,m}) \arrow[r, "{[p^{k-1}]}"] & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}) \arrow[r, "\varrho"] & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k-1,m}) & \\
 & & 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k-1,m}) \arrow[r, "{[p]}"] & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})
\end{tikzcd}$$

This shows

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{1,m}) & = & \ker(\varrho) \\ & = & \ker([p]\circ\varrho) \\ & = & H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})[p] \end{array}$$

(The second equality is because $[p]$ is injective on $H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k-1,m})$, and the last equality is because $[p]\circ\varrho$ on $H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$ is multiplication by p).

This proves the first isomorphism. Now, it is easy to see that $[p^{k-1}]$ maps $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{1,m})$ into $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$. To finish the proof of the lemma we need to show that $[p^{k-1}]^{-1}H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$ satisfies the appropriate local conditions to lie in $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{1,m})$. This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)$ is cartesian on $\mathcal{T}_{k,m}$, see Remark 2.23. □

Remark 2.28. Starting from the exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & T_{k,1} \arrow[r, "\mathbf{X}^{m-1}"] & T_{k,m} \arrow[r] & T_{k,m-1} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & T_{k,m-1} \arrow[r, "\mathbf{X}"] & T_{k,m} \end{tikzcd}$$

one can similarly prove that

$$[\mathbf{X}^{m-1}] : H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathbf{X}]$$

for all positive integers k, m.

Recall that $R_{k,m}$ is the ring $\Lambda/(p^k, \mathbf{X}^m)$.

Remark 2.29. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the maximal ideal $(p, \mathbf{X})$ of $R_{k,m}$. Observing that

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] = \{H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[p]\}[\mathbf{X}]$$

then applying Lemma 2.27 and Remark 2.28 it follows that

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \cong H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}).$$

Lemma 2.30. *Suppose $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ is a core vertex for $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}, \overline{T}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ and $\mathcal{X}(\overline{T}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) = 1$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a cyclic $R_{k,m}$-module.*

Proof. By the assumption on n it follows that

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{1,1}) = H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T})$$

is free of rank one over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Further, by Remark 2.28 applied with $k = 1$, it follows that $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{1,m})$ is a cyclic $R_{1,m}$-module (note that $R_{1,m}$ is a principal ideal ring):

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{1,m}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{X}]]/\mathbf{X}^\alpha \text{ (as an } R_{1,m}\text{-module)}$$

for some $\alpha \leq m$.

By the second isomorphism proved in Lemma 2.27 we have

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[p] \cong H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{1,m}) \tag{10}$$

Taking the Pontryagin duals of both sides in equation (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{1,m}), \mathbb{F}_p) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{X}]]/\mathbf{X}^\alpha, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{X}]]/\mathbf{X}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}),\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)/p\cdot \mathrm{Hom}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}),\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is generated by one element as an $R_{1,m}$-module. By Nakayama's lemma it now follows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}),\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is generated by one element over $R_{k,m}$, which completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Lemma 2.31. *Suppose $n\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ is a core vertex for $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}},\overline{T},\mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ and the core rank of the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\overline{T}$ is one. Then*

$$\mathrm{length}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})]-\mathrm{length}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q},T^*_{k,m})]=k.m$$

Proof. (All the *length*s mentioned in this proof are measured over $\mathbb{Z}_p$)

Fix m, and let R_k denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$. Then, by Theorem 4.1.5 of [MR04] there are integers r_k, s_k, one of which can be taken to be zero, such that for every $n\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ there is an isomorphism

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})\oplus R_k^{r_k}\cong H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q},T^*_{k,m})\oplus R_k^{s_k}$$

Here, we regard $T_{k,m}$ as an R_k-representation. We note that proof of the above mentioned theorem still holds true in our case by Proposition 2.10 and Lemma 2.27. The proof of the same theorem in fact shows that r_k, s_k do not depend on k, we let this common value be $\chi(T^*_m)$, $\chi(T_m)$, respectively. Hence, there is an isomorphism

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})\oplus R_k^{\chi(T^*_m)}\cong H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q},T^*_{k,m})\oplus R_k^{\chi(T_m)} \tag{11}$$

Now from (11) it follows that

$$\chi(T_m)-\chi(T^*_m)=\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_m)-\mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T^*_m)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.2.15 of [MR04], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_m) &- \mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T^*_m)=\\ &= \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T^-_m+\mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p,T^*_m)\\ &= m\cdot\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T^-+\mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p,T^*_m),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is because complex conjugation acts trivially on $\Lambda/\mathbf{X}^m$ (recall $T_m=T\otimes\Lambda/\mathbf{X}^m$). From (11) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{length}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})] &- \mathrm{length}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q},T^*_{k,m})]=\\ &= k(\chi(T_m)-\chi(T^*_m))\\ &= k\cdot m\cdot\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T^-+k\cdot\mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p,T^*_m)\end{aligned}$$

$H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_m^*)$ is dual to $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_m)$ under the local Tate pairing, and

$$H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_m) = H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, T \otimes \Lambda/\mathbf{X}^m) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{T})/\mathbf{X}^m H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{T})$$

because $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ has cohomological dimension 2. However, $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{T})$ is Λ-torsion (by [PR94b] Proposition 3.2.1) and our assumption $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$ implies that $\mathbf{X}$ does not divide the characteristic ideal $\mathrm{char}(H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{T}))$ of $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{T})$. This means $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{T})/\mathbf{X}^m H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{T})$ is finite for all m, which shows that $\mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_m^*) = 0$ for all m, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{length}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})] &- \mathrm{length}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})] = \\ &= k \cdot m \cdot \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T^-\end{aligned}$$

Observe that above arguments for $m = 1$, together with the assumption that the core Selmer rank of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\overline{T}$ shows that $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T^- = 1$. Proof the Lemma follows. □

Remark 2.32. As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.31 our assumption $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{EZ}$ (resp. $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$) is equivalent to the assumption that $(T^*)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is finite (resp. $(T^*)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = 0$). The latter assumptions have the flavor of the algebraic conditions of Greenberg [Gre94] on T so that the p-adic L-function attached to T would not have a *trivial zero* (or *exceptional zero*, as it is called by Mazur, Tate and Teitelbaum in [MTT86] when $T = T_p(V_f)$ is the p-adic Tate module of a two dimensional p-adic representation V_f attached to a modular form f, à la Deligne, Eichler, Serre and Shimura) at $s = 1$. Inspired by this similarity we say that T is *Kolyvagin-exceptional* (or briefly *K-exceptional*) if $(T^*)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is infinite. K-exceptionality has been studied in §3.3 and §4.4 of [Büy07b], where the notion of a Galois representation T being K-exceptional is compared to the notion of T being exceptional in the sense of Greenberg [Gre89, Gre94].

Remark 2.33. For all height one primes $\wp$ of Λ that are not exceptional (in the sense of [MR04] Definition 5.3.12), one may prove that ([MR04] Lemma 5.3.16) the core Selmer rank $\mathcal{X}(T \otimes S_\wp, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ for the canonical Selmer structure on $T \otimes S_\wp$ does not depend on $\wp$; where $S_\wp$ is the integral closure of $\Lambda/\wp$ inside the field of fractions $\mathrm{Frac}(\Lambda/\wp)$. This common value is called the *generic core Selmer rank* of the canonical Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $T \otimes \Lambda$. In particular, our assumption that the core Selmer rank $\mathcal{X}(\overline{T}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\overline{T}$ is *one* together with the hypothesis $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{EZ}$ is equivalent to the assumption that the generic core Selmer rank is *one.*

Proof of Theorem 2.26. Theorem follows from Lemma 2.30 and Lemma 2.31. □

3. Λ-ADIC KOLYVAGIN SYSTEMS

Many of the arguments in this section are essentially present in Appendix B and §4.3 of [MR04]. We only need slight modifications to the arguments of [MR04]. We still include every detail (even though we sometimes repeat the exact same proof) for completeness.

3.1. **Kolyvagin Systems over $R_{k,m}$.**

3.1.1. *Generalities.* Let R be a local artinian ring with finite residue field. If M is an R-module and $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(M,R)$ we define for any integer r a map, also denoted by ψ

$$\bigwedge^r M \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} M$$

by

$$\psi : m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_r \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{i+1} \psi(m_i) m_1 \wedge \dots m_{i-1} \wedge m_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge m_r$$

We also define a map

$$\bigwedge^s \mathrm{Hom}(M,R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\bigwedge^r M, \bigwedge^{r-s} M)$$

for $s \leq r$ by iteration of the above construction.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose M is a free R-module of rank at least $r+1$ and we are given $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in \mathrm{Hom}(M,R)$. Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \psi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_r : M \longrightarrow R^r \\ \Psi &= \psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_r : \bigwedge^{r+1} M \longrightarrow M \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\bigwedge^{r+1} M) &\subset \ker(\psi) \\ \textit{and} \qquad &= \ker(\psi) \textit{ if } \psi \textit{ is surjective.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose first that ψ is surjective with kernel $A \subset M$. Then the image of ψ is R^r which is free hence projective so the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\psi} R^r \longrightarrow 0$$

splits. Therefore there is a submodule B of M which complements A, i.e. $M = A \oplus B$ and ψ maps B isomorphically onto R^r. We have a funtorial isomorphism

$$\bigwedge^{r+1} M \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=r+1} \left(\bigwedge^p A \otimes \bigwedge^q B \right) \tag{12}$$

The map Ψ, after the identification above, takes the factor $A\otimes \bigwedge^{r} B$ isomorphically onto A and kills the other summands. This proves the equality when ψ is surjective.

To prove the containment in the general case we need to check the map

$$\psi_s \circ \Psi : \bigwedge^{r+1} M \longrightarrow R$$

is identically *zero* for all $s = 1, \dots, r$. We will only check this when $s = 1$, and the other cases follow in an identical way.

A simple combinatorial argument shows that

$$\Psi(m_1 \wedge \dots m_{r+1}) = \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_r(m_1 \wedge \dots m_{r+1}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^i \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \cdot \psi_1(m_{\sigma(1)}) \dots \psi_{i-1}(m_{\sigma(i-1)}) \cdot \psi_i(m_{\sigma(i+1)}) \dots \psi_r(m_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdot m_i$$

where the second sum is over all permutations σ of $\{1, \dots, i-1, i, i+1, \dots, r+1\}$ such that $\sigma(i) = i$. Then

$$\psi_1 \circ \Psi(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_{r+1}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^i \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \cdot \psi_1(m_{\sigma(1)}) \dots \psi_{i-1}(m_{\sigma(i-1)}) \cdot \psi_i(m_{\sigma(i+1)}) \dots \psi_r(m_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdot \psi_1(m_i)$$

We fix i. Then the expression

$$\psi_1(m_{\sigma(1)}) \dots \psi_{i-1}(m_{\sigma(i-1)}) \cdot \psi_i(m_{\sigma(i+1)}) \dots \psi_r(m_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdot \psi_1(m_i)$$

appears exactly twice. We identify these terms now and show that they will have opposite signs. This will show $\psi_1 \circ \Psi(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_{r+1}) = 0$.

Let $\sigma(1) = j$, and let

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} (1, i, i+1, \dots, j) & , \text{ if } j > i \\ (1, i, i-1, \dots, j) & , \text{ if } j < i \end{cases}$$

and let $\tau = \sigma \circ \alpha$. Then the term

$$\psi_1(m_{\sigma(1)}) \dots \psi_{i-1}(m_{\sigma(i-1)}) \cdot \psi_i(m_{\sigma(i+1)}) \dots \psi_r(m_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdot \psi_1(m_i)$$

appears once for the permutation σ itself (with the sign $(-1)^i \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)$) and for the permutation τ (with the sign $(-1)^j \mathrm{sign}(\tau)$). Since $\mathrm{sign}(\tau) = \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\mathrm{sign}(\alpha) = \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)(-1)^{i-j+1}$ it follows that $(-1)^j \mathrm{sign}(\tau) = (-1)^{i+1} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)$, and that

$$\psi_1 \circ \Psi(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_{r+1}) = 0.$$

In an identical way one can check that $\psi_s \circ \Psi(m_1 \wedge \dots m_{r+1}) = 0$ for all $s \neq 1$.

□

3.1.2. *The lower bound.* Let $R_{k,m}$, $T_{k,m}$, $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}$, $\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}$ be as in §2. We also let $\nu(n)$ be the number of positive divisors of n. In this section we obtain (Theorem 3.11) a lower bound on the size of the $R_{k,m}$-module of Kolyvagin Systems.

Definition 3.2. If X is a graph and $\text{Mod}_{R_{k,m}}$ is the category of $R_{k,m}$-modules, *simplicial sheaf* $\mathcal{S}$ on X with values in $\text{Mod}_{R_{k,m}}$ is a rule assigning

- an $R_{k,m}$-module $\mathcal{S}(v)$ for very vertex v of X,
- an $R_{k,m}$-module $\mathcal{S}(e)$ for very edge e of X,
- an $R_{k,m}$-module homomorphism $\psi_v^e : \mathcal{S}(v) \to \mathcal{S}(e)$ whenever the vertex v is an endpoint of the edge e.

A *global section* of $\mathcal{S}$ is a collection $\{\kappa_v \in \mathcal{S}(v) : v \text{ is a vertex of } X\}$ such that for every edge $e = \{v, v'\}$ of X $\psi_v^e(\kappa_v) = \psi_{v'}^e(\kappa_{v'})$ in $\mathcal{S}(e)$. We write $\Gamma(\mathcal{S})$ for the $R_{k,m}$-module of global sections of $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 3.3. For the Selmer triple $(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ we define a graph $\mathcal{X}_{k,m} = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ by taking the set of vertices of $\mathcal{X}_{k,m}$ to be $\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$, and edges are $\{n, n\ell\}$ whenever $n, n\ell \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ (with ℓ prime).

The *Selmer sheaf* $\mathcal{H}$ is the simplicial sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_{k,m}$ given as follows. We take

- $\mathcal{H}(n) := H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_n$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$,
- if e is the edge $\{n, n\ell\}$ then $\mathcal{H}(e) := H^1_s(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_{n\ell}$

and we define the vertex-to-edge maps to be

- $\psi^e_{n\ell} : H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n\ell)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_{n\ell} \to H^1_s(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_{n\ell}$ is localization followed by projection to the singular cohomology H^1_s.
- $\psi^e_n : H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_n \to H^1_s(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_{n\ell}$ is the composition of localization at ℓ with the finite-singular comparison map ϕ^{fs}_ℓ.

Note then that a Kolyvagin system for the Selmer triple $(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ is simply a global section of the Selmer sheaf $\mathcal{H}$.

For the next proposition, recall Definition 2.3 for a definition of the modified Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}^n_{\text{can}}$ on $T_{k,m}$.

Proposition 3.4. *If $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ is a core vertex for $(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ then the $R_{k,m}$-module $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\text{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ is free of rank $\nu(n)+1$.*

Proof. We have the following exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\text{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell | n} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})}{H^1_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{(\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}})^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell \mid n} H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T^*_{k,m})$$

For a core vertex $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m}) = 0$ by Theorem 2.26, hence global duality (Theorem 1.3.5) implies that the right-most map in the first sequence is surjective. Thus the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell \mid n} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})}{H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Theorem 2.26 we know that $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ is free of rank one over $R_{k,m}$, and $\bigoplus_{\ell \mid n} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})}{H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})}$ is free (so projective) of rank $\nu(n)$ by Lemma 2.18 and Lemma 2.20. This proves the proposition. $\square$

Fixing a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)/\mathbb{Q})$ we may view (by Lemma 2.18 and Lemma 2.20) the finite-to-singular map as an isomorphism

$$H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \xrightarrow{\phi^{\mathrm{fs}}_\ell} H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \cong H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$$

We also for each $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ choose an isomorphism

$$\iota_\ell : H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow R_{k,m}$$

Fix a core vertex $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ and order the primes $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{\nu(n)}$ dividing n arbitrarily. Let $\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_i$ (respectively $\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_i$) be the map from $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ to $R_{k,m}$ be the localization at ℓ_i, followed by the projection onto the finite (respectively the transverse) submodule, followed by $\iota_{\ell_i} \circ \phi^{\mathrm{fs}}_{\ell_i}$ (respectively ι_{ℓ_i}):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[r] \arrow[rrddd, dashed, "\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_i"'] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{proj}"] & H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[d, "\phi^{\mathrm{fs}}_{\ell_i}"', "\cong"] \\
 & & H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
 & & H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[d, "\iota_{\ell_i}"', "\cong"] \\
 & & R_{k,m}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}) \arrow[r] \arrow[rrdd, dashed, "\mathrm{loc}_i^{\mathrm{tr}}"'] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i},T_{k,m}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{proj}"] & H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i},T_{k,m}) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
& & H^1_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i},T_{k,m}) \arrow[d, "\iota_{\ell_i}"', "\cong"] \\
& & R_{k,m}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 3.5. For each $r \mid n$ define

$$\psi_i^{(r)} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{loc}_i^{\mathrm{f}} & \text{if } \ell \text{ divides } r \\ \mathrm{loc}_i^{\mathrm{tr}} & \text{if } \ell_i \text{ does not divide } r \end{cases}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(r)} &:= \psi_1^{(r)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_{\nu(n)}^{(r)} &&: H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow R_{k,m}^{\nu(n)} \\
\Psi^{(r)} &:= \psi_1^{(r)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_{\nu(n)}^{(r)} &&: \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose $n \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ is a core vertex. Then for all $r \mid n$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(r)}\left(\bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})\right) &\subset H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}) \\
&= H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}), \text{ if } r \text{ is a core vertex.}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $r \mid n$ the following sequence is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m}) \xrightarrow{\psi^{(r)}} R_{k,m}^{\nu(n)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\psi^{(r)}) \longrightarrow 0$$

immediately from the definitions.

If r is a core vertex then $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$ is free of rank one by definition, and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$ is free of rank $\nu(n)+1$ by Proposition 3.4; therefore, counting lengths in the above exact sequence, one checks that $\mathrm{coker}(\psi^{(r)}) = 0$. So $\psi^{(r)}$ is surjective in this case. Now Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$\Psi^{(r)}\left(\bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})\right) = H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$$

in this case. In general the containment also follows from Lemma 3.1. □

Definition 3.7. Choose $c \in \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ and for each divisor r of m define

$$\kappa_r := \kappa_r(n,c) = (-1)^{\nu(r)}\Psi^{(m)}(c) \in H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$$

Proposition 3.8.
For each $r\ell_i \mid n$ *we have* $\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell_i}(\kappa_r) = \mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\ell_i}(\kappa_{r\ell_i})$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell_i}(\kappa_r) &= (-1)^{\nu(r)}\,\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell_i}(\Psi^{(m)}(c)) \\ &= (-1)^{\nu(r)}(\Psi^{(m)} \wedge \mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell_i})(c) \\ &= -(-1)^{\nu(r)}(\Psi^{(m\ell_i)} \wedge \mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\ell_i})(c) \\ &= (-1)^{\nu(r\ell_i)}\,\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\ell_i}(\Psi^{(m\ell_i}(c)) \\ &= \mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\ell_i}(\kappa_{r\ell_i}) \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is obtained by transposing factors $\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell_i}$ and $\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\ell_i}$ which occur in $\Psi^{(m)} \wedge \mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell_i}$. □

Thus the collection $\{\kappa_r(n,c)\}$ for $r \mid n$ gives a section of the Selmer sheaf $\mathcal{H}$ to the subgraph $\mathcal{X}_n$ of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ whose vertices are all divisors r of n. We will show that if $n' = nd$ is another core vertex then this section can be extended to a section of $\mathcal{H}$ over $\mathcal{X}_{nd}$.

Remark 3.9. Note that the section $\kappa(n,c) = \{\kappa_r(n,c) : r \mid n\}$ depends on the choice of ordering of primes dividing n, but only upto a sign. We will therefore adopt the convention in [MR04] Appendix B; namely we will maintain the same ordering of primes dividing n when we extend to $n' = nd$, but place them after the *new* primes which divide d.

Lemma 3.10. *Keep the notation of the previous remark. In the following diagram the image of the horizantal arrow contains the image of the vertical arrow:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \bigwedge^{\nu(n')+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{nd}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\nu(d)}"] & \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{nd}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \\ & \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{n}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

If the image of $c' \in \bigwedge^{\nu(n')+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{nd}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ *under the horizantal map agrees with the image of* c *under the vertical map then the section* $\kappa(n', c')$ *of* $\mathcal{X}_{nd}$ *extends the section* $\kappa(n, c)$ *of* $\mathcal{X}_n$*.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 global duality and vanishing of $H^1_{(\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}})^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})$ imply that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{nd}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_d} \bigoplus_{\ell | d} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})}{H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})} \longrightarrow 0$$

The right-most term is projective so we may choose a free rank $\nu(d)$ summand A, complementary to $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{nd}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$. Thus the map

$$\bigoplus \mathrm{loc}_i^{\mathrm{tr}} : A \longrightarrow R_{k,m}^{\nu(d)}$$

is an isomorphism. This shows that

$$\bigwedge \mathrm{loc}_i^{\mathrm{tr}} : \bigwedge^{\nu(d)} A \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{\nu(d)} R_{k,m}^{\nu(d)} = R_{k,m}$$

and

$$\bigwedge^{\nu(d)} A \otimes \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_1^{\mathrm{tr}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{loc}_{\nu(d)}^{\mathrm{tr}} \otimes \mathrm{id}} \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$$

are isomporphisms as well. Thus in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigwedge^{\nu(n')+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{nd}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{loc}_1^{\mathrm{tr}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{loc}_{\nu(d)}^{\mathrm{tr}}"] & \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{nd}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \\
\bigwedge^{\nu(d)} A \otimes \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{loc}_1^{\mathrm{tr}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{loc}_{\nu(d)}^{\mathrm{tr}} \otimes \mathrm{id}"] & \bigwedge^{\nu(n)+1} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^n_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

the lower horizantal map is surjective so the first claim is proved. To prove the second claim we observe for $r \mid n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_r(n,c) &= (-1)^{\nu(r)}\left(\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(d)+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(nd)}\right)(c)\\
&= (-1)^{\nu(r)}\left(\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(d)+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(nd)}\right)\left(\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{loc}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\nu(d)}\right)(c')\\
&= (-1)^{\nu(r)}\left(\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(d)+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(nd)}\right)\left(\psi^{(m)}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(d)}\right)(c')\\
&= (-1)^{\nu(r)}\left(\psi^{(m)}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\psi^{(m)}_{\nu(nd)}\right)(c')\\
&= \kappa_r(n',c').
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ denote the *global sections* of the Selmer sheaf $\mathcal{H}$ on the graph $\mathcal{X}_{k,m}$.

Theorem 3.11. *For any core vertex $r\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ the map*

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{H})\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}(r)$$

is surjective.

Proof. Fixing a generator of $G_r := \bigotimes_{\ell\mid r}\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ we may identify $\mathcal{H}(r)$ by $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$. Fix also $\alpha\in H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$. By Proposition 3.6, given α one can find an element $c_0\in\bigwedge^{\nu(r)+1}H^1_{\mathcal{F}^r_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$ such that $\kappa_r(r,c_0)=\alpha$.

Now using Lemma 4.1.9(iii) of [MR04] and Theorem 2.26 choose a sequence of core vertices $r=r_0\mid r_1\mid r_2\ldots$ such that every $n\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ divides an r_i for some i. By Lemma 3.10 one may choose for each $i>0$

$$c_i\in\bigwedge^{\nu(r_i)+1}H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{r_i}_{\mathrm{can}}}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$$

in such a way that the section $\kappa(r_{i+1},c_{i+1})$ of $\mathcal{X}_{n_i+1}$ restricts to $\kappa(r_i,c_i)$ on $\mathcal{X}_{n_i}$. We now define $\kappa\in\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ to be

$$\kappa := \{\kappa_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}} = \{\kappa_n(r_i,c_i)\text{ for }i\text{ chosen sufficiently large}\}_{n\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}}.$$

By construction κ maps to α under the map $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}(r)$, proving the Theorem. □

3.1.3. *The upper bound.* In this section we will obtain an upper bound for the size of the $R_{k,m}$-module $\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m},\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}},\mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ of Kolyvagin Systems.

Theorem 3.12. *For any core vertex $r\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ the map*

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{H})\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}(r)$$

is injective.

As an immediate corollary to Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.12 we have

Corollary 3.13. *For any core vertex $r\in\mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ the map*

$$\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m},\mathcal{P}_{k,m})\longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},T_{k,m})$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, $\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m},\mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ is a free $R_{k,m}$-module of rank one.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.12. We will be closely following the techniques from [MR04] §4.3, many times repeating the same arguments.

Recall that $\overline{T}$ is the representation $T/pT\cong T_{k,m}/(p,\mathbf{X})T_{k,m}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{k,m}$ is the graph defined as in §3.1.2 equipped with the Selmer sheaf $\mathcal{H}$. We define a subgraph $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}=\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}(T_{k,m},\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}},\mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ of $\mathcal{X}_{k,m}$ whose vertices are the core vertices of $\mathcal{X}_{k,m}$ and whose edges are defined as follows. We join n and $n\ell$ by an edge in $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$ if and only if the localization map

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q},\overline{T})\longrightarrow H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell,\overline{T})$$

is *non-zero*.

We now define the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^0$ on $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$ to be simply the restriction of the Selmer sheaf $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$.

Definition 3.14. A simplicial sheaf $\mathcal{H}$ on a graph $\mathcal{X}$ is *locally free of rank one* if for every vertex v and edge $e=\{v,v'\}$ the modules $\mathcal{H}(v)$ and $\mathcal{H}(e)$ are free of rank one and the vertex edge homomorphisms ψ^e_v are isomorphisms.

We will prove below that the graph $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$ is connected and $\mathcal{H}^0$ is locally free of rank one. This will be the essential step to prove the theorem.

Proposition 3.15. *The graph $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$ defined above is connected.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$ is defined in terms of $\overline{T}$, we can work with $\overline{T}$ instead of T. Then Theorem 4.3.12 of [MR04] proves the Proposition. □

Proposition 3.16. *The sheaf* $\mathcal{H}^0$ *is locally free of rank one.*

Proof. If n is a vertex of $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$ then n is a core vertex thus $\mathcal{H}^0(n)$ is free of rank one by the definition of a core vertex. Also, since $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$, by Lemma 2.18 $\mathcal{H}^0(n) := H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_{n\ell}$ is free of rank one as well

Suppose e is the edge $\{n, n\ell\}$ in $\mathcal{X}^0_{k,m}$. By Remark 2.29 we have

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \cong H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T})$$

and by Proposition 2.10

$$H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \cong H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \overline{T}).$$

Further, by the choice of n and by Lemma 2.18 $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$ are both free of rank one over $R_{k,m}$, so the non-triviality of the map

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \overline{T})$$

implies, by Nakayama's lemma, that the map

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m})$$

is an isomorphism. Now by Lemma 2.18 the map

$$\mathcal{H}^0(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(e) := H^1_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_{n\ell}$$

is an isomorphism. A similiar argument (and using Lemma 2.20 this time) shows that the map $\mathcal{H}^0(n\ell) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(e)$ is an isomorphism as well. □

Proposition 3.17. *Suppose that* $\kappa \in \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ *and* $\kappa_r = 0$ *for some core vertex* r*, then* $\kappa_n = 0$ *for all core vertices* n*.*

Proof. For any core vertex n one can find a path $P = \{r = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k = n\}$ by Proposition 3.15. Let e_i denote the edge $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$. Then by Proposition 3.16 the map

$$\Psi_P := (\psi^{e_{k-1}}_{v_k})^{-1} \circ \psi^{e_{k-1}}_{v_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ (\psi^{e_0}_{v_1})^{-1} \circ \psi^{e_0}_{v_0} : \mathcal{H}(r) \to \mathcal{H}(n)$$

is well-defined and is an isomorphism. By the definition of a Kolyvagin system $\Psi_P(\kappa_r) = \kappa_n$. This proves the theorem. □

The following two lemmas are extensions of Proposition 3.6.1 and Lemma 4.1.7(iv) of [MR04], respectively. Proof of Lemma 3.18 is identical to the proof given for Proposition 3.6.1 in [MR04]. We will include the proof of Lemma 3.19 although we still follow the same lines in [MR04].

Lemma 3.18. *Suppose* $c_1, c_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ *and* $c_3, c_4 \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})$ *are all nonzero. For every positive integer* u *and* v *there is a subset* S

of $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ of positive density such that for $\ell \in S$, the localizations $(c_i)_\ell$ are all nonzero.

Let $\overline{T} := T/pT$ and $\overline{T}^* := T^*[p]$ and define

$$\lambda(n, M) = \text{length}\left(H^1_{\mathcal{F}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, M)\right) \text{ for } M = T_{k,m}, T^*_{k,m}, \overline{T} \text{ or } \overline{T}^*.$$

Lemma 3.19. *Suppose $n\ell \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ and assume that the maps induced from the natural localization maps*

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \qquad \textit{and}$$

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T^*_{k,m})$$

are both non-zero. Then

(i) $\lambda(n\ell, \overline{T}) = \lambda(n, \overline{T}) - 1$, *and*
(ii) $\lambda(n\ell, \overline{T}^*) = \lambda(n, \overline{T}^*) - 1$.

Proof. Since the difference $\lambda(r\,, \overline{T}) - \lambda(r\,, \overline{T})$ is a constant independent of r, it suffices to check only (ii). There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \arrow[r, "\text{loc}_\ell"] & H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T^*_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \\
H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}^*) \arrow[r, "\text{loc}_\ell"] \arrow[u, "\cong"] & H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \overline{T}^*) \arrow[u, "\cong"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left vertical isomorphism is Lemma 3.5.3 of [MR04]. By assumption upper horizontal map is nonzero, therefore the lower horizontal map is nonzero as well. However $H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \overline{T}^*)$ is free of rank one over $\mathbb{F}_p$ hence the lower horizontal map is surjective. Similiarly one may prove that the localization map

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \overline{T})$$

is surjective as well. By Lemma 4.1.7(ii) of [MR04] (which still holds since we are working with the same residual representation $\overline{T} = T_{k,m}/\mathcal{M}\cdot T_{k,m}$) we conclude that

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n\ell)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}^*) = H^1_{\mathcal{F}^\ell_{\text{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}^*) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}^*)$$

thus we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n\ell)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}^*) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(n)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T}^*) \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \overline{T}^*) \longrightarrow 0$$

This, together with the fact that $H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \overline{T}^*)$ is a one dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space (since $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$) completes the proof of (ii). ☐

Proposition 3.20. *Suppose that* $\kappa \in \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k,m})$ *and* $\kappa_n = 0$ *for any core vertex* n*, then* $\kappa = 0$*.*

Proof. We need to show that $\kappa_r = 0$ for all $r \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$. We prove this by induction on the length of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, \overline{T})$, which we will denote by $\lambda(r, \overline{T}^*)$ following [MR04]. By assumption $\kappa_r = 0$ for all with $\lambda(r, \overline{T}^*) = 0$.

Suppose now that $\lambda(r, \overline{T}^*) > 0$ and suppose $\kappa_r \neq 0$. Using[2] Lemma 3.18 we may choose a prime $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$ such that

(a) $(\kappa_r)_\ell \neq 0$

(b) The maps

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T_{k,m}) \qquad \text{and}$$

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, T^*_{k,m})$$

are both non-zero.

Now Lemma 3.19 and (b) implies that $\lambda(r\ell, \overline{T}^*) < \lambda(r, \overline{T}^*)$, therefore by the induction hypothesis $\kappa_{r\ell} = 0$. However by the definition of a Kolyvagin system and by (a) it follows that $(\kappa_{r\ell})_{\ell,\mathrm{s}} \neq 0$. This contradicts with our previous conclusion that $\kappa_{r\ell} = 0$, which shows that $\kappa_r = 0$ for all $r \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$ as desired. ☐

Proof of Theorem 3.12. Suppose that $\kappa \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and that $\kappa_r = 0$ for the core vertex r given in the statement of the Theorem. It follows from Proposition 3.17 that $\kappa_n = 0$ for any core vertex n, therefore $\kappa = 0$ by Proposition 3.20. Thus the Theorem follows. ☐

---

[2] We make use of Lemma 3.18 as follows: By our assumption that $\lambda(r, \overline{T}^*) > 0$ and by Remark 2.29 and Lemma 3.5.3 of [MR04]

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \neq 0 \neq H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}]$$

therefore there are nonzero classes

$$c \in H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$$

and

$$c^* \in H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)^*}(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})[\mathcal{M}] \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})$$

Now given also that $0 \neq \kappa_r \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ we invoke Lemma 3.18 with nonzero κ_r and $c \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ and $c^* \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^*_{k,m})$ to find an ℓ with the desired properties enlisted above.

3.2. **Kolyvagin Systems for $T \otimes \Lambda$.** Using the results from §3.1 we prove (Theorem 3.23)

$$\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) := \varprojlim_{k,m}(\varinjlim_{j} \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_j))$$

is a free Λ-module of rank one, under the hypotheses we set in §2.2.

Lemma 3.21. *For any $j \geq k+m$ the natural restriction map*

$$\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_j)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Corollary 3.13 applied for a core vertex $r \in \mathcal{N}_j$ (such r exists by Corollary 4.1.9 of [MR04] and Theorem 2.26) we have isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_j)$$

compatible with the restriction map $\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_j)$. This proves the Lemma. ☐

Lemma 3.22. *The maps*

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m'})$$

$$\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{KS}(T_{k',m'}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m})$$

are surjective for $k \geq k'$, $m \geq m'$ and a core vertex $r \in \mathcal{N}_{k,m}$.

Proof. We will first prove that the second map is surjective assuming the first map is. By Corollary 3.13 and Lemma 3.21 applied to both $T_{k,m}$ and $T_{k',m'}$, if $r \in \mathcal{P}_{k+m}$ is a core vertex we get the following commutative diagram with vertical isomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathbf{KS}(T_{k',m'}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m}) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \otimes G_r \arrow[r, two heads] & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m'}) \otimes G_r
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now it easily follows that the upper map in the diagram is surjective as well.

So it remains to prove that the map

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m'})$$

is surjective. We have the following commutative diagram, where the vertical isomorhism is obtained from an appropriate version of Lemma 2.27:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[r, "\text{reduction}"] \arrow[dr, "p^{k-k'}"] & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m}) \arrow[d, "\cong"', "{[p^{k-k'}]}"] \\
 & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})[p^{k'}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since r is a core vertex (therefore $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m})$ is free of rank one over $R_{k,m}$) the map on the diagonal is surjective. This proves that horizantal map is surjective as well. One shows in a similiar manner that the map

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m'})$$

is also surjective, hence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[dr] & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m}) \arrow[d, two heads] \\
 & H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}(r)}(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k',m'})
\end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that the map on the diagonal is surjective, finishing the proof. □

Theorem 3.23. *Under the hypotheses assumed in the preceding sections the* Λ*-module* $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ *is free of rank one, and the specialization map*

$$\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$$

is surjective.

Proof. By Lemma 3.21 it follows that

$$\varinjlim_{j} \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_j) = \mathbf{KS}(T_{k,m}, \mathcal{P}_{k+m})$$

Now using Corollary 3.13 and Lemma 3.22 the Theorem follows. □

Remark 3.24. Notice that the collection of ideals $\{(p^k, \mathbf{X}^m)\}_{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ form a base of neighborhoods at 0 in Λ. One may then see that our version of $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$ agrees with the generalized module of Kolyvagin Systems $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda})$ of [MR04] §5.3. Further, an element $\kappa\in\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$ would have the same use with an element of the module of Kolyvagin Systems $\mathbf{KS}(T\otimes\Lambda)$ of [MR04] Definition 3.1.3. For this reason, when we say κ is a Kolyvagin system, we will mean that κ is an element of one of these three modules.

Remark 3.25. Note that Theorem 3.23 says that, under the running hypotheses, $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$ is generated by a Kolyvagin system κ whose blind spot does not contain any prime ideal. Even if $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$ fails but $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{EZ}$ holds for T, we still expect to have a Kolyvagin system for $(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$ which does not have $(\gamma-1)$ in its blind spot. However, we no longer expect that the specialization map $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})\to\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$ to be surjective. Although we are unable to formulate a precise conjecture for the size of the cokernel of this map, we expect that its size will be related to $|(T^*)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}|$ and $|A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}/(A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell})_{\text{div}}|$ $(\ell\neq p)$. In fact, using the proof of Proposition 6.2.6 [MR04], one can show that

$$p\mid \#\text{coker}\left\{\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})\longrightarrow\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T,\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})\right\}$$

if one of $|(T^*)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}|$ and $|A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell}/(A^{\mathcal{I}_\ell})_{\text{div}}|$ is greater than one.

4. Applications and Further Discussion

In this chapter we exhibit several applications of Theorem 3.23. First we go over classical examples of Kolyvagin Systems over the cyclotomic Iwasawa algebra and their applications, such as the Kolyvagin Systems derived from cyclotomic unit Euler system and Kato's Euler system and discuss these examples from the perspective offered by our main theorem.

Next we discuss the relation of the cohomology classes we construct by Theorem 3.23 to p-adic L-functions. Although our construction of these classes has no reference to L-values, the rigidity of the Λ-module of Kolyvagin Systems enables us to set up a connection with p-adic L-functions via Rubin's (conjectural) Euler systems (*c.f.* [Rub00]§8) obtained from (conjectural) p-adic L-functions of Perrin-Riou. In this sense our result lends evidence to Rubin's predictions and Perrin-Riou's conjectures.

Then we apply our results to study the Stark elements of Rubin [Rub96] over the cyclotomic tower. This is the only section that the base field is different from $\mathbb{Q}$, and we will utilize a version of Theorem 3.23 with a Selmer structure different from $\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}$. We include a discussion of how one could use these classes to prove the main conjectures of Iwasawa theory for totally real fields (which has already been settled by Wiles [Wil90]).

4.1. **Classical Examples.** Fix once and for all a rational prime $p > 2$.

4.1.1. *Cyclotomic Units.* Let $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$ where $\chi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ is a character of finite order. Let L be the cyclic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is cut of by the character χ and set $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$. For any $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-module A, A^{χ} denotes the χ-isotypic component of A.

Fix a collection $\{\zeta_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ such that ζ_n is a primitive n-th root of unity and $\zeta_{mn}^m = \zeta_n$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Whenever F is a finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor f, we define c_F as the image of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{fp})/F}\,(\zeta_{fp} - 1)$ under the Kummer map $F^{\times} \hookrightarrow H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$. This defines an Euler system (in the sense of [Rub00] Chapter 2) for the representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$, and is called the *Euler system of cyclotomic units.* One can modify these units, as in [Rub00] §9.6 to obtain an Euler system $\mathbf{c}$ for $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ satisfying Definition 3.2.2 of [MR04].

By standard twisting arguments (*c.f.* [Rub00] Chapter 2, Proposition 4.2), the Euler system $\mathbf{c}$ gives an Euler system $\mathbf{c}^{\chi}$ for the representation $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$. Then by [Rub00] Theorem 5.3.3, $\mathbf{c}^{\chi}$ gives rise to Kolyvagin system $\kappa^{\chi,\infty} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$.

Assume now that $\chi(p) \neq 1$. Then it is straightforward to check that T will satisfy our hypotheses **H.1**-**H.4**, $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$, and thus Theorem 3.23 applies and says that $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ is free of rank one (as a Λ-module). Let κ^{χ} denote the image of $\kappa^{\chi,\infty}$ under the specialization map $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) \to \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$. By [MR04] Remark 6.1.8 κ^{χ} is primitive, i.e. its image under the map

$$\mathbf{KS}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{KS}(T/pT, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$$

is non-zero. This shows that $\kappa^{\chi,\infty}$ in fact Λ-primitive, i.e. it generates the Λ-module $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$.

As usual, let $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} = \bigcup \mathbb{Q}_n$ denote the (cyclotomic) $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{Q}_n$ the unique subfield of $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ of degree p^n over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $L_n = L\mathbb{Q}_n$, and let $\mathcal{E}_n$ be the p-adic completion of the units $\mathcal{O}_{L_n}^{\times}$ of L_n, $\mathrm{Cl}(L_n)$ the p-part of the ideal class group of L_n. Set $\mathcal{E}_{\infty} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{E}_n$, and $A_{\infty}^{\chi} = \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Cl}(L_n)^{\chi}$, where the inverse limits are with respect to norm maps. The standard application of the Kolyvagin system $\kappa^{\chi,\infty}$ is the following:

Theorem 4.1. $\mathrm{char}\,(A_{\infty}^{\chi}) = \mathrm{char}\,(\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\chi}/\Lambda \cdot \kappa_1^{\chi,\infty})$.

Theorem 4.1 is essentially equivalent to the classical main conjectures Iwasawa theory, which were first proved by Mazur and Wiles [MW84].

In fact, let M_∞ denote the maximal p-extension of $L_\infty = L\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ unramified outside primes above p, and let $Z_\infty = \mathrm{Gal}(M_\infty/L_\infty)$. Then Z_∞ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-module which a torsion Λ-module. Let also U_n denote the local units of $L_{n,p} = L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, and $U_\infty = \varprojlim_n U_n$. By class field theory, we have an exact sequence (*c.f.* [dS87] §III.1.7)

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\infty^\chi/\Lambda \cdot \kappa_1^{\chi,\infty} \longrightarrow U_\infty/\Lambda \cdot \kappa_1^{\chi,\infty} \longrightarrow Z_\infty^\chi \longrightarrow A_\infty^\chi \longrightarrow 0$$

and thus, by Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\mathrm{char}(Z_\infty) = \mathrm{char}(U_\infty^\chi/\Lambda \cdot \kappa_1^{\chi,\infty})$. But a classical theorem of Iwasawa [Iwa64] states that $\mathrm{char}(U_\infty^\chi/\Lambda\cdot \kappa_1^{\chi,\infty})$ is generated by the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-function associated to χ, hence $\mathrm{char}(Z_\infty)$ as well.

4.1.2. *Kato's Euler System.* Fix an elliptic curve $E_{/\mathbb{Q}}$ and assume that $p > 3$ and set $T = T_p(E)$. Suppose also that the p-adic representation $\rho_E : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{Aut}(E[p^\infty]) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is surjective. Let N be the conductor of E. Kato [Kat04b] has constructed an Euler system for $(T_p(E), \mathcal{P}')$ (in the sense of [MR04] Definition 3.2.2), where $\mathcal{P}'$ is the set of rational primes not dividing $NpDD'$ with two auxiliary positive integers D and D' used in Kato's construction. Set $\mathcal{P}^{(0)} = \mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{P}$ (recall the definition of $\mathcal{P}$ from Chapter 2§2.4) and $\mathcal{P}_{k,m}^{(0)} = \mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$. Again by Theorem 5.3.3 of [MR04], Kato's Euler system gives rise to a Kolyvagin system $\kappa^{\mathrm{Kato},\infty} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ (where we use primes $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ instead of $\mathcal{P}$ now; however this does not interfere with our results in Chapter 2 or Chapter 3). Let κ^{Kato} denote the image of $\kappa^{\mathrm{Kato},\infty}$ under the map $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) \to \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$.

Assume that E has good reduction at p. Assume further that $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$ and $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ holds (in this setting this amounts to saying $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] = 0$ and p does not divide the Tamagawa factors $c_\ell = [E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)]$ for primes ℓ of bad reduction). Then [MR04] Theorem 6.2.4 implies that κ^{Kato} is primitive, i.e. its reduction modulo p is non-zero.

The core Selmer rank $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ of the canonical Selmer structure on T is equal to $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T_p(E)^- = 1$. Note also that the hypotheses **H.1-H.3** hold by our assumption on ρ_E, and **H.4** holds since $p > 3$. Therefore Theorem 3.23 applies to this setting, hence $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ is free of rank one over Λ. The argument in the previous paragraph implies that the Kolyvagin system κ^{Kato} is Λ-primitive therefore it generates $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$.

Note that the assumption that E has good reduction at p is not necessary for Theorem 3.23 to hold. However, without this assumption we can not conclude that $\kappa^{\mathrm{Kato},\infty}$ generates $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ (which is a cyclic Λ-module by Theorem 3.23).

4.2. **Λ-adic Kolyvagin Systems and p-adic L-functions.** Examples we discuss in the previous section suggests that the Kolyvagin Systems we prove to exist should somehow relate to the p-adic L-functions. This is what we explore in this section in greater generality. However, we should warn the reader that this section is very speculative.

We first revisit the case $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ and the Euler system of cyclotomic units. See [Rub00] Chapter 8, §5 or [PR94a] for a detailed discussion of what follows in this paragraph. Let $U_n = \mathcal{O}_n^\times$ be the local units in $\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}$, the unique extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree p^n inside the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\mathcal{O}_n^\times$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}$. Recall the isomorphism

$$\exp^* : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_W(T)^{\psi=1}$$

of Theorem A.5 and Theorem A.8, where W is the prime-to-p part of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p^\infty)/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $D_W(T)^{\psi=1}$ denotes the W-fixed part of $D(T)^{\psi=1}$. We have then a commutative diagram (which is essentially the explicit reciprocity law of Iwasawa [Iwa68])

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varprojlim_n U_n \arrow[rr, "\kappa"] \arrow[dr, "u\mapsto \frac{\partial f_u}{f_u}(\pi)"'] & & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) \arrow[dl, "\exp^*"] \\
& D_W(T)^{\psi=1} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, f_u is the Coleman's power series attached to $u \in \varprojlim_n U_n$, $\pi = \{\pi_n\} \in \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_n$ and π_n is a distinguished uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_n$ (which we will not define here); κ is the Kummer map. It turns out that, the image of the $\{\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{cycl}}_{\mathbb{Q}_n}\}_n \in \varprojlim_n U_n$, where $\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{cycl}}$ is the Euler system of cyclotomic units, can be thought as a measure whose Amice transform gives rise to the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic zeta function.

This example is part of a big conjectural picture (due to Perrin-Riou [PR95] in the general case). In fact for a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]]$-representation T we conjecturally have the following diagram:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{Leading terms of}\\ \text{Kolyvagin Systems for } T\otimes\Lambda\end{array}\right\} \longrightarrow \quad H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T\otimes\Lambda) \longrightarrow \quad H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T\otimes\Lambda)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\exp^*} D_W(T)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\Theta} \{p\text{-adic } L\text{-functions}\}$$

where Θ is the Amice transform and the leading term of a Kolyvagin system κ^∞ in $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ is the term $\kappa_1^\infty \in \varprojlim_{k,m} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_{k,m}) \cong H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T\otimes\Lambda)$.

In [Rub00] Chapter 8, Rubin proposes to go the other way in the above diagram: To construct an Euler system for T starting from a collection of p-adic L-functions associated to T over various abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. In what follows we will be closely follow Rubin's exposition from [Rub00].

Until the end of section assume that T is a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-stable lattice inside the p-adic realization $V = T \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ of a *motivic structure* (in the sense of [FPR94] Chapter III) and that V is crystalline at p. Assume further that the generic core Selmer rank of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}$ on $T \otimes \Lambda$ is one. Throughout this section a different ring of periods will be utilized than the one we mention in Appendix A, which is also constructed by Fontaine and called $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$. The link between the theory of (ϕ, Γ)-modules (associated with a crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) and $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$ has been established by Berger [Ber02], however we will not very much dwell on this relation.

Let $D_{\text{cris}}(V) := (\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ denote Fontaine's filtered vector space attached to V and let $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))$. If F is an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ we also let $D^F_{\text{cris}}(V) = D_{\text{cris}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} V)$. Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the extended Iwasawa algebra defined by Perrin-Riou [PR94b] §1, and let $\mathbb{K} = \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{H})$ denote the field of fractions of $\mathbb{H}$. In [PR94b], Perrin-Riou constructs a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(F\mathbb{Q}_\infty)/\mathbb{Q}]]$-module homomorphism (what she calls an expanded logarithm, and is related to $\exp^*$ of Theorem A.5 and Theorem A.8)

$$\mathcal{L}_F : \oplus_{v|p} \varprojlim_n H^1((F\mathbb{Q}_n)_v, T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \otimes D^F_{\text{cris}}(V).$$

Further, she conjectures that for every extension E of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and every character $\chi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to E^\times$ of finite order, unramified at p, and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ that is divisible by the conductor of χ, there is an element

$$\mathbf{L}^{(p)}_r(T \otimes \chi) \in \mathbb{K} \otimes D_{\text{cris}}(V^* \otimes \chi^{-1})$$

which satisfies certain properties (*c.f.* [PR95] §4.2). We will not discuss these properties of $\mathbf{L}^{(p)}_r(T \otimes \chi)$ (and refer the reader to [PR95]), except for the following interpolation property it conjecturally satisfies for characters ρ of finite order of Γ and sufficiently large positive integers k:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \chi_{\text{cycl}} \rangle^k \rho(\mathbf{L}^{(p)}_r(T \otimes \chi)) = \\ &= (p\text{-Euler factor}) \times \tfrac{L_r(V \otimes \chi\omega^k\rho^{-1}, -k)}{\text{archimedean period}} \times (p\text{-adic period}).\end{aligned}$$

where ω is the Teichmüller character , $\langle \chi_{\text{cycl}} \rangle = \omega^{-1}\chi_{\text{cycl}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$, and $L_r(V \otimes \chi\omega^k\rho^{-1}, s)$ is the conjectural complex L-function of $V \otimes \chi\omega^k\rho^{-1}$ with Euler factors at primes dividing r removed.

Let $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)^+$ be the maximal real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)$ and write $\Delta_r = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)^+/\mathbb{Q})$. For every character $\chi : \Delta_r \to E^\times$ (where E is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ as above) let $\epsilon_\chi \in E[\Delta_r]$ be the idempotent associated with χ.

For $f \in \mathbb{K}$ let f^ι denote the image of f under the involution induced by $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}$ for $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty(\mu_r)^+/\mathbb{Q})$. Define also $H^1_\infty(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)^+, T) := \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{Q}_n(\mu_r)^+, T)$.

Conjecture. (Rubin [Rub00], Chapter 8, Conjecture 2.6) *Assume $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is prime to p, T is as above and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[G_\mathbb{Q}]]$ annihilates $A^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty(\mu_r)}}$.*

Then there is an element $\boldsymbol{\xi}_r = \boldsymbol{\xi}_r^{(\alpha)} \in H^1_\infty(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)^+, T)$ such that for every character χ as above

$$\epsilon_\chi \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)^+}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_r) = \chi(\alpha)\mathbf{L}_r^{(p)}(T^* \otimes \chi)^\iota$$

where $\chi(\alpha)$ is the image of α under the composition

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_Q]] \longrightarrow \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_r] \xrightarrow{1\otimes\chi} \Lambda \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \otimes E$$

Let N be the product of all rational primes where T is ramified. It follows from Lemma IV.2.5(i) of [Rub00] that

$$T^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty(\mu_r)}} = T^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}} \text{ and } A^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty(\mu_r)}} = A^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}}$$

if r is prime to Np. Fix an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[G_\mathbb{Q}]]$ which annihilates $A^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}}$ (hence $A^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty(\mu_r)}}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ prime to Np). Assume that the weak Leopoldt conjecture (*c.f.* [PR95] §1.3) holds for T^*, and $T^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}} = 0$.

For every $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ prime to Np let

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_r = \{\xi_{n,r}\} \in H^1_\infty(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)^+, T) \text{ with } \xi_{n,r} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_n(\mu_r)^+, T)$$

be an element that satisfies Conjecture above. Then Rubin proves:

Proposition 4.2.([Rub00], Corollary VIII.3.2) *The collection*

$$\{\xi_{n,r} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_n(\mu_r)^+, T) : n \geq 0,\ r \text{ prime to } Np\}$$

is an Euler system for $(T, \mathbb{Q}_\infty\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab},Np,+}, Np)$ in the sense of Definition II.1.1 and Remark II.1.3 of [Rub00], *where $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab},Np,+}$ is the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside Np.*

Assume now also that T satisfies all the hypotheses **H.1**-**H.4**, $\mathbb{H}$.**T** and $\mathbb{H}$.**sEZ**. Note that we already assumed that $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}) = 1$. It thus follows from Theorem 3.23 that the Λ-module $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ is free of rank one, and in fact it is generated by a Λ-primitive Kolyvagin system $\kappa^\infty \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$. On the other hand Proposition 4.2, by [MR04] Theorem 5.3.3, gives rise to a Kolyvagin system $\kappa^{\mathrm{PR}} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$. Therefore $\kappa^{\mathrm{PR}} = \lambda\kappa^\infty$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. This shows that, modulo the

Conjectures of Perrin-Riou and Rubin we recorded above, that the cohomology classes we prove to exist in Theorem 3.23 have to be linked with p-adic L-functions.

We also note that Theorem 3.23 proves a consequence of the conjectures of Perrin-Riou and Rubin we mentioned above, namely the existence of Kolyvagin Systems for $(T \otimes \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$. In this sense these conjectures borrows evidence from Theorem 3.23.

4.3. Iwasawa theory of Stark units and Kolyvagin Systems.

Let F be a totally real number field of degree r over $\mathbb{Q}$ and fix an algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F. In this section our base field will be different from $\mathbb{Q}$, however our results from Section 2 and Section 3 will apply without any change.

Let χ be an *even* character of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ (*i.e.* it is trivial on all complex conjugations inside $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$) into $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ that has finite order, and let L be the fixed field of $\ker(\chi)$ inside $\overline{F}$. We define f_χ to be the conductor of χ, and Δ to be the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ of the extension L/F. We assume that $p \nmid f_\chi$ and $\chi(\wp) \neq 1$ for any prime $\wp$ of F over p. Assume also for notational simplicity that p is unramified in $F/\mathbb{Q}$. Let T be the $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$-representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$.

Remark 4.3. T clearly satisfies the hypotheses **H.1-H.4** ($\mathbb{Q}$ replaced by F) of §2.2.2. Observe also that the below versions of the hypotheses $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}$ will hold for T as well:

($\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}_{/F}$) $A^{I_{F_\lambda}}$ is divisible for every prime $\lambda \nmid p$ of F.

($\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}_{/F}$) $(T^*)^{G_{F_\wp}} = 0$ for primes $\wp \mid p$ of F.

Let F_∞ denote the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F, and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$. Since we assumed p is unramified in $F/\mathbb{Q}$, note that F_∞/F is then totally ramified at all primes $\wp$ over p. Let $F_\wp$ denote the completion of F at $\wp$, and let $F_{\wp,\infty}$ denote the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $F_\wp$. We may identify $\text{Gal}(F_{\wp,\infty}/F_\wp)$ by Γ for all $\wp|p$ and henceforth Γ will stand for any of these Galois groups. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$ as usual.

4.3.1. *Modified Selmer structures on* $T \otimes \Lambda$. In [Büy07a], we modify the classical local conditions at primes of F above p to obtain a Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ on T (see [Büy07a] §1). The objective of this section is to lift the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ to a Selmer structure on $T \otimes \Lambda$.

Recall that $H^1_{\text{Iw}}(F_\wp, T) := \varprojlim_n H^1(F_{\wp,n}, T)$, where $F_{\wp,n}$ denotes the unique subfield of $F_{\wp,\infty}$ which has degree p^n over $F_\wp$. By Shapiro's Lemma one may canonically identify $H^i_{\text{Iw}}(F_\wp, T)$ by $H^i(F_\wp, T \otimes \Lambda)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.(*c.f.* [MR04] Lemma 5.3.1). Let

$$H^i_{\text{Iw}}(F_p, T) := \oplus_{\wp|p} H^i_{\text{Iw}}(F_\wp, T) \text{ and } H^i(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda) := \oplus_{\wp|p} H^i(F_\wp, T\otimes\Lambda).$$

Recall from Appendix A that H_K denotes $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_\infty)$ for any local field K. Recall also that $T_m = T \otimes \Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m)$.

Proposition 4.4.

- **(i)** *$H^1(F_p, T \otimes \Lambda) = H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_p, T)$ is a free Λ-module of rank r.*
- **(ii)** *The map $H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda) \to H^1(F_p, T_m)$ is surjective all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Proof. Since we assumed $\chi(\wp) \neq 1$ for any $\wp|p$, it follows that $T^{H_{F_\wp}} = 0$, and thus (i) follows immediately from Theorem A.8. It also follows that $(T^*)^{G_{F_\wp}} = 0$ since $\chi(\wp) \neq 1$ and hence, by the proof of Lemma 2.11, that $H^2(F_\wp, T\otimes\Lambda) = 0$. But $\mathrm{coker}\{H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda) \to H^1(F_p, T_m)\} = H^2(F_\wp, T\otimes\Lambda)[\mathbf{X}^m]$ hence (ii) follows. □

We recall the definition of the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ of [MR04] Definition 5.3.2. We fix a set $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ of places of F which contains all the places above p and infinite places of F, as well as the places at which T is ramified. We then let $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda}(F_v, T\otimes\Lambda) = H^1(F_v, T\otimes\Lambda)$ for all $v \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$. By [MR04] Lemma 5.3.1, it is easy to see that this definition of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ does not depend on the choice of $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$. It also follows from the proofs of Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.12 that the induced Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ on the quotients $\{T_m\}$ of $T\otimes\Lambda$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$, if the hypotheses $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}_{/F}$ and $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}_{/F}$ holds for T.

Fix a free Λ-direct summand $\mathbb{L}_\infty$ of $H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda)$ which is free of rank one as a Λ-module. By Proposition 4.4 this also fixes a free $\Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m)$-direct summand $\mathcal{L}_m$ of $H^1(F_p, T_m)$ which is free of rank one (as a $\Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m)$-module). When $m=1$, we denote $\mathcal{L}_1$ simply by $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition 4.5. Let $\mathbb{L}_\infty$ be as above. We define the $\mathbb{L}_\infty$-*modified Selmer structure* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ on $T\otimes\Lambda$ as

- $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}) = \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$,
- $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda) = \mathbb{L}_\infty \subset H^1(F_p, T\otimes\mathbb{L}_\infty)$,
- $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F_v, T\otimes\Lambda) = H^1_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda}(F_v, T\otimes\Lambda)$, for primes $v \nmid p$ of F.

The induced Selmer structure on the quotients $\mathcal{T}_0 = \{T_{k,m}\}$ will also be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ (except for the induced Selmer structure on T, which we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$, following [Büy07a]). Note that local conditions on $T_{k,m}$ at primes $\lambda \nmid p$ of F determined by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ will coincide with the local conditions determined by $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$, and therefore also by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}}$ since $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{T}_{/F}$ and $\mathbb{H}.\mathbf{sEZ}_{/F}$ hold true.

Proposition 4.6.

- **(i)** *The Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ is cartesian on $\mathcal{T}_0$, in the sense of Definition 2.4.*

(ii) *The Selmer core rank* $\mathbf{X}(T,\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ *of the Selmer structure on* T *induced from* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ *is one.*

Proof. (ii) is Proposition 1.8 of [Büy07a].

Since $\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ determine the same local conditions at places $v \nmid p$, the local conditions are cartesian at $v \nmid p$ by Proposition 2.10. Therefore, it suffices to check that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ is cartesian on $\mathcal{T}_0$ at p.

Property **C.1** holds by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ on $\mathcal{T}_0$, and property **C.3** follows easily from [MR04] Lemma 3.7.1 (which applies since $\mathcal{L}_m$ is a direct summand of $H^1(k_p,T_m)$, i.e. $H^1(F_p,T_m)/\mathcal{L}_m$ is a free $\Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m)$-module for all m).

We now verify **C.2**. Let $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}$ be the image of $\mathcal{L}_m$ under the reduction map

$$H^1(F_p,T_m) \longrightarrow H^1(F_p,T_{k,m}).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}$ (resp. $H^1(F_p,T_{k,m})/\mathcal{L}_{k,m}$) is a free $\Lambda/(p^k,\mathbf{X}^m)$-module of rank one (resp. of rank $[F:\mathbb{Q}]-1$). We need to check that the map

$$H^1(F_p,T_{k,m})/\mathcal{L}_{k,m} \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{X}^{M-m}]} H^1(F_p,T_{k,M})/\mathcal{L}_{k,M}$$

induced from the map $[\mathbf{X}^{M-m}] : \Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^m) \to \Lambda/(\mathbf{X}^M)$ is injective for all $M \geq m$. But this is evident since $H^1(F_p,T_{k,m})/\mathcal{L}_{k,m}$ (resp. $H^1(F_p,T_{k,M})/\mathcal{L}_{k,M}$) is a free $\Lambda/(p^k,\mathbf{X}^m)$-module (resp. a free $\Lambda/(p^k,\mathbf{X}^M)$-module) of rank $[F:\mathbb{Q}]-1$. ☐

As a corollary of Proposition 4.6 (and the techniques of the proof of Theorem 3.23) we obtain

Theorem 4.7. *The* Λ*-module of Kolyvagin Systems* $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty})$ *for the Selmer structure* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}$ *on* $T\otimes\Lambda$ *is free of rank one. Further, the map*

$$\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda,\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T,\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$$

is surjective.

Proof. This is exactly Theorem 3.23 for $T=\mathbb{Z}_p(1)\otimes\chi^{-1}$ and the base field is F instead of $\mathbb{Q}$ (the proof of Theorem 3.23 generalizes word by word to the case when the base field is different from $\mathbb{Q}$). ☐

4.3.2. *Stark units and* Λ*-adic Kolyvagin Systems.* Fix a Λ-line $\mathbb{L}_\infty$ as above. Fix also a finite set S of places of F that does *not* contain p, but contains all infinite places S_∞, all places λ that divide the conductor f_χ of χ. Assume that $|S| \geq r+1$ (where $r=[F:\mathbb{Q}]$). Let

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \{F(\tau) : \tau \text{ is a (finite) cycle of } F \text{ prime to } f_\chi p\}$$

and $\mathcal{K} = \{L \cdot F(\tau) : F(\tau) \in \mathcal{K}_0\}$ where $F(\tau)$ denotes the maximal pro-p extension of F inside the ray class field of F modulo τ. For each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ let $S_K = S \cup \{\text{places of } F \text{ at which } K \text{ is ramified}\}$ be another set of places of F. Let $O^\times_{K,S_K}$ denote the S_K units of K, and Δ_K (*resp.* δ_K) denote $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ (*resp.* $|\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)|$). Conjecture B' of [Rub96] predicts the existence of certain elements

$$\varepsilon_{K,S_K} \in \Lambda_{K,S_K} \subset \frac{1}{\delta_K}\wedge^r O^\times_{K,S_K} \subset \frac{1}{\delta_K}\wedge^r H^1(K,\mathbb{Z}_p(1))$$

where Λ_{K,S_K} is defined in §2.1 of [Rub96], $\wedge^r O^\times_{K,S_K}$ stands for the rth exterior product of $O^\times_{K,S_K}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]$-module and the final inclusion is induced by Kummer theory.

Using elements of $\varprojlim_{K\in\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(H^1(K,\mathbb{Z}_p(1)),\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K])$ (or the images of the elements of

$$\varprojlim_{K\in\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(H^1(K_p,\mathbb{Z}_p(1)),\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K])$$

under the canonical map

$$\begin{aligned}\varprojlim_{K\in\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \quad & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(H^1(K_p,\mathbb{Z}_p(1)),\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]) \longrightarrow \\ & \varprojlim_{K\in\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(H^1(K,\mathbb{Z}_p(1)),\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K])\end{aligned}$$

induced from localization at p) and the Stark elements above, Rubin [Rub96] §6 (see also [PR98]) shows how to obtain Euler system for the representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ of G_F. One may then obtain an Euler system for $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$ using standard twisting arguments (*c.f.* [Büy07a] §2.2 and [Rub00] §II.4).

In [Büy07a] we explicitly determine a generator for the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T,\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L})$ (which is known to be free of rank one as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module by [MR04] Theorem 5.2.10) using the Euler systems of Stark elements. Let $\kappa^{\text{stark}} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T,\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L})$ denote the primitive Kolyvagin system obtained in [Büy07a] and denoted there by $\{\kappa_\eta^{\Phi_0}\}$, where $\Phi_0 = \{\phi_0^{(\tau)}\}$ is a distinguished element of $\varprojlim_\tau \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(H^1(F(\tau)_p,T),\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau])$ and $\Delta_\tau = \mathrm{Gal}(F(\tau)/F)$ for $F(\tau) \in \mathcal{K}_0$, see [Büy07a]§§2.3-2.4 for more details. We further recall that $\kappa_1^{\Phi_0} = \Phi_0^{(1)}(\varepsilon^\chi_{L,S_L})$, where ε^χ_{L,S_L} is the χ-component of the Stark element ε^χ_{L,S_L}.

Let $\Gamma_n = \mathrm{Gal}(F_n/F)$ and let γ be a fixed generator of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$. Let $\mathbb{L}_n$ be the image of $\mathbb{L}_\infty$ under the (surjective) homomorphism

$$H^1(F_p,T\otimes\Lambda) \longrightarrow H^1(F_p,T\otimes\Lambda/(\gamma^{p^n}-1)) \cong H^1(F_{n,p},T)$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Shapiro's lemma. Using the arguments of [Büy07a] §2.3 one may choose

$$\Phi^\infty = \{\Phi^{(n)}\} \in \varprojlim_n \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n]}(H^1(F_{n,p}, T), \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n])$$

such that

- $\Phi^{(n)}$ maps $\bigwedge^r H^1(F_{n,p}, T)$ isomorphically onto $\mathbb{L}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (therefore Φ^∞ maps $\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda)$ isomorphically onto $\mathbb{L}_\infty$),
- $\Phi^{(0)} = \phi_0^{(1)}$ in $\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H^1(F_p, T), \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Let $L_n = L\cdot F_n$ and let $\varepsilon^\chi_{L_n,S_{L_n}}$ be the χ-component of the Stark element $\varepsilon_{L_n,S_{L_n}}$ whose existence was predicted by [Rub96], see also [Büy07a] §2.1 for an overview of the Stark elements of Rubin and [Büy07a] §2.2 for the twisting argument by the character χ. Set

$$\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{stark}}_{F_\infty} = \{\varepsilon^\chi_{L_n,S_{L_n}}\} \in \bigwedge^r H^1(F, T\otimes\Lambda)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} = \Phi^\infty(\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{stark}}_{F_\infty}) = \{\Phi^{(n)}(\varepsilon^\chi_{L_n,S_{L_n}})\} \in \varprojlim_n H^1(F_n, T) \cong H^1(F, T\otimes\Lambda).$$

Note that, since $\Phi^{(0)} = \phi_0^{(1)}$, it follows that

$$\Phi^{(0)}(\varepsilon_{L,S_L}) = \phi_0^{(1)}(\varepsilon_{L,S_L}) = \kappa_1^{\Phi_0},$$

i.e. $\kappa_1^{\Phi_0}$ is the image of $\varepsilon_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}$ under the projection

$$H^1(F, T\otimes\Lambda) \to H^1(F, T).$$

Using methods of Perrin-Riou [PR98] one may show that there is a Kolyvagin system $\kappa^{\mathrm{pr},\infty} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{can}})$ such that

$$\kappa_1^{\mathrm{pr},\infty} = \varepsilon_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \text{ and } \kappa^{\mathrm{pr},\infty} \equiv \kappa^{\mathrm{stark}} \bmod (\gamma-1),$$

but $\kappa^{\mathrm{pr},\infty}$ does not necessarily belong to $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty})$. On the other hand Theorem 4.7 gives rise to a Kolyvagin system $\kappa^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty})$ such that $\kappa^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \equiv \kappa^{\mathrm{stark}} \bmod (\gamma-1)$. It requires to extend the methods of [Büy07a] to conclude that there is a choice of a primitive $\kappa^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty})$ for which $\kappa_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} = \varepsilon_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}$. This is the subject of a forthcoming paper [Büy07c].

We now illustrate how one would utilize $\kappa^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty})$. We assume Leopoldt's conjecture until the end of this section. This in particular shows that $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F, T\otimes\Lambda)$ injects into $\mathbb{L}_\infty$. We will therefore identify $\kappa_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \in H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F, T\otimes\Lambda)$ with its image inside $\mathbb{L}_\infty$ under this injection wherever necessary.

For any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module M, let $M^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ denote its Pontryagin dual.

Proposition 4.8. *The sequence*

$$\begin{aligned}0 \quad &\longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F, T\otimes\Lambda)/\Lambda\cdot\kappa_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_\infty/\Lambda\cdot\kappa_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} \longrightarrow \\ &H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathrm{str}}}(F,(T\otimes\Lambda)^*)^\vee \longrightarrow (H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F,(T\otimes\Lambda)^*)^\vee \longrightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

is exact. Here $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{str}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathrm{str}}$) is the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^*_\Lambda$) but local conditions at p are replaced by the strict (resp. relaxed) conditions in the sense of [MR04] *Definition 1.1.6 and Definition 2.3 above.*

Proof. First injection follows from Leopoldt's conjecture, and the rest of the sequence is exact by class field theory (*c.f.* [Rub00] Theorem I.7.3, proof of Theorem III.2.10 and [dS87] §III.1.7). ☐

Since $\kappa^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}$ maps to κ^{stark} under the map

$$\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$$

and since κ^{stark} is primitive by the argument in [Büy07a] following the proof of Theorem 3.9, it follows that $\kappa^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}$ is Λ-primitive. Therefore, by [MR04] Theorem 5.3.10 it follows that

$$\mathrm{char}\left(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F, T\otimes\Lambda)/\Lambda\cdot\kappa_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}\right) = \mathrm{char}\left(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathbb{L}_\infty}}(F,(T\otimes\Lambda)^*)^\vee\right).$$

Thus, using Proposition 4.8 we obtain:

Corollary 4.9.

$$\mathrm{char}\left(\mathbb{L}_\infty/\Lambda\cdot\kappa_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}\right) = \mathrm{char}\left((H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathrm{str}}}(F,(T\otimes\Lambda)^*)^\vee\right).$$

Assume[3] now that one could choose a Kolyvagin system $\kappa^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}$ inside $\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T\otimes\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_\infty})$ so that $\kappa_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty} = \varepsilon_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}$.

Since Φ^∞ maps $\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda)$ isomorphically onto $\mathbb{L}_\infty$ (and maps the element $\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{stark}}_{F_\infty} \in \bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda)$ to $\varepsilon_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty}$) it follows that

$$\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda)/\Lambda\cdot\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{stark}}_{F_\infty} \cong \mathbb{L}_\infty/\Lambda\cdot\varepsilon_1^{\mathrm{stark},\infty},$$

hence

Corollary 4.10.

$$\mathrm{char}\left(\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda)/\Lambda\cdot\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{stark}}_{F_\infty}\right) = \mathrm{char}\left(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathrm{str}}}(F,(T\otimes\Lambda)^*)^\vee\right).$$

---

[3] In [Büy07c] we show that this in fact is possible.

Let $\mathcal{L}_F^{\chi}$ denote the Deligne-Ribet p-adic L-function attached to the character χ (*c.f.* [DR80] for the construction of this p-adic L-function). In the spirit of [PR94a], [PR95] and the explicit reciprocity laws conjectured by Perrin-Riou [PR94b] (and proved by Colmez [Col98]) we state the following:

Conjecture 4.11. $\mathcal{L}_F^{\chi}$ *generates* $\operatorname{char}\left(\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T\otimes\Lambda)/\Lambda\cdot\mathbf{c}_{F_\infty}^{\text{stark}}\right)$.

By Corollary 4.10, Conjecture 4.11 is equivalent to Iwasawa's main conjecture over the totally real field F for characters χ with the properties ascribed at the beginning of §4.4.3. In particular, it is true by the work of Wiles [Wil90]. Of course, it certainly would be more desirable to prove Conjecture 4.11 directly then deduce the main conjectures.

Appendix A. Local Conditions at p over an Iwasawa algebra via (ϕ, Γ)-modules

Throughout this section let K denote a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and set $\tilde{K}_n := K(\mu_{p^n})$, $\tilde{K}_\infty := \bigcup_n \tilde{K}_n$. Set also $\tilde{H}_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/\tilde{K}_\infty)$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_K := G_K/\tilde{H}_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\infty/K)$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a topological generator of the pro-cyclic group $\tilde{\Gamma}_K$, and let $\tilde{\Lambda}_K := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{\Gamma}_K]]$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ be a fixed topological generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\infty/\tilde{K}_n) := \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, chosen in a way that $\tilde{\gamma}_n = \tilde{\gamma}_1^{p^{\alpha_n}}$, where $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (so that $[\tilde{K}_n : \tilde{K}] = p^{\alpha_n}$).

Let K_n be the maximal pro-p extension of K inside $\tilde{K}_n$, and let $\bigcup_n K_n =: K_\infty \subset \tilde{K}_\infty$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of K. We set $\Gamma_K := \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ and $\Lambda_K := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$. Note then that

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_K = W \times \Gamma_K, \text{ and that } \tilde{\Lambda}_K = \mathbb{Z}_p[W] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_K$$

where W is a finite group which has prime-to-p order (In fact W can be identified by $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/K)$). Let γ denote the restriction of $\tilde{\gamma}$ to K_∞; so that γ is a topological generator of Γ_K. Let also γ_n denote the image of $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ under the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\infty/\tilde{K}_n) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$$

and set $H_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_\infty)$ (so that $H_K/\tilde{H}_K \cong W$).

In [Fon90], [4]Fontaine introduces the notion of a (ϕ, Γ)-module over certain period rings that he denotes by $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}}$ (which really is the ring of integers of the field $\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}} = \mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}})$); we also set $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)} := (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}})^{H_K}$. We will not include a detailed discussion of these objects here, we refer the reader to A.3.1-3.2 of [Fon90] for their definitions and basic properties. Briefly, a (ϕ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)}$-module with semi-linear continuous and commuting actions of ϕ and $\Gamma := \tilde{\Gamma}_K$. A (ϕ, Γ)-module D over $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)}$ is *étale* if $\phi(D)$ generates D as an $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)}$-module.

Using his theory, Fontaine established an equivalence between the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representations of G_K and the category of étale (ϕ, Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)}$. The equivalence is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T & \longmapsto & D(T) := (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T)^{H_K} \\ (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)}} D)^{\phi=1} =: T(D) & \longleftarrow & D \end{array}$$

See A.1.2.4-1.2.6 in [Fon90].

Herr [Her98] later showed that one may use (ϕ, Γ)-modules to compute the Galois cohomology groups $H^*(K, T)$. One of the benefits of his approach is that the complex he constructs with cohomology $H^*(K, T)$

---

[4] However, one should be cautious as Fontaine uses K_n for our $\tilde{K}_n$, etc. For example, his Γ_K is our $\tilde{\Gamma}_K$.

is quite explicit, which allows us to compute certain local Galois cohomology groups of p-adic fields. In [Her01], he gives a proof of local Tate duality, where again the pairing is fairly explicitly constructed (see §5 of [Her01]) using the residues of the differential forms on $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon(K)}$. The rest of this section is a survey of his result, and of its applications to Iwasawa theory following [Ben00] and [CC99].

In the theory of (ϕ, Γ)-modules, there is an important operator[5]

$$\psi : \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}}$$

$$\psi(x) := \frac{1}{p}\phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}/\phi(\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}})}(x))$$

which will be crucial for what follows. It is a left inverse of ϕ, and its action on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}}$ commutes with the action of G_K. It induces an operator

$$\psi : D(T) \longrightarrow D(T)$$

for any G_K-representation T.

Let $C_{\psi,\gamma}$ be the complex

$$C_{\psi,\gamma}: \qquad 0 \longrightarrow D(T) \xrightarrow{(\psi-1,\gamma-1)} D(T) \oplus D(T) \xrightarrow{(\gamma-1)\ominus(\psi-1)} D(T) \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

Main result of [Her98] is:

Theorem A.1. *The complex $C_{\psi,\gamma}$ computes the G_K-cohomology of T:*

- **(i)** $H^0(K,T) \cong D(T)^{\psi=1,\tilde{\gamma}=1}$,
- **(ii)** $H^2(K,T) \cong D(T)/(\psi-1,\tilde{\gamma}-1)$,
- **(iii)** *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{D(T)^{\psi=1}}{\tilde{\gamma}-1} \longrightarrow H^1(K,T) \longrightarrow \left(\frac{D(T)}{\psi-1}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}=1} \longrightarrow 0$$

Further, all the isomorphisms and maps that appear above are functorial in T and K.

Definition A.2. Let

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T) := \varprojlim_n (H^1(\tilde{K}_n,T)) \text{ and}$$

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_n,T) := \varprojlim_n (H^1(K_n,T))$$

where the inverse limits are with respect to the corestriction maps.

---

[5] This definition makes sense because:

- $\mathrm{Tr}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}/\phi(\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}})} \subset p\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{nr}}}}$,
- ϕ is injective.

Remark A.3. Since the order of W is prime to p, it follows that

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_n, T) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K, T)^W$$

by Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.

We now determine the structure of $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_n, T)$ using Theorem A.1.

Proposition A.4. *Define* $\tau_n := 1 + \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1} + \cdots + \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}^{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{\Gamma}_K]]$. *Then we have a commutative diagram with exact rows:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{\psi,\tilde{\gamma}_n}(\tilde{K}_n, T) : 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dotted, "\tau_n^*"] & D(T) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau_n"] & D(T) \oplus D(T) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau_n \oplus \mathrm{id}"] & D(T) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & 0 \\
C_{\psi,\tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}}(\tilde{K}_{n-1}, T) : 0 \arrow[r] & D(T) \arrow[r] & D(T) \oplus D(T) \arrow[r] & D(T) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the map induced from the morphism τ_n^* *on the cohomology of* $C_{\psi,\tilde{\gamma}_n}(\tilde{K}_n, T)$ *is the corestriction map under Herr's identification (Theorem A.1)*

$$H^*(C_{\psi,\tilde{\gamma}_n}(\tilde{K}_n, T)) \cong H^*(\tilde{K}_n, T).$$

Proof. τ_n^* is a cohomological functor and induces $\mathrm{Tr}_{\tilde{K}_n/\tilde{K}_{n-1}}$ on H^0, so it induces corestrictions on H^i. □

Using Proposition A.4 one may compute $H^*_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K, T)$:

Theorem A.5.

- **(i)** $H^i_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K, T) = 0$ *if* $i \neq 1, 2$,
- **(ii)** $H^1_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K, T) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(T)^{\psi=1}$,
- **(iii)** $H^2_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K, T) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(T)/(\psi - 1)$.

See §II.3 of [CC99] for a proof of this theorem.

Remark A.6. The isomorphism

$$\exp^* : H^1_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K, T) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(T)^{\psi=1}$$

of Theorem A.5 is the map that will give rise to the conjectural p-adic L-function.

Let $\mathcal{C}(T) := (\phi - 1)D(T)^{\psi=1}$. Since ψ is a left inverse of ϕ, it follows that

$$\ker\{D(T)^{\psi=1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)\} = D(T)^{\phi=1}$$

hence we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow D(T)^{\phi=1} \longrightarrow D(T)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\phi-1} \mathcal{C}(T) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{13}$$

Using techniques from the theory of (ϕ, Γ)-modules one can determine the structure of $\mathcal{C}(T)$:

Proposition A.7. *$\mathcal{C}(T)$ is free of rank $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\cdot\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{\Gamma}_K]]$-module.*

Also one may check that $D(T)^{\phi=1}\cong T^{\tilde{H}_K}$, which is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, hence is a torsion $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{\Gamma}_K]]$-module. Thus, it follows from Proposition A.7 and Theorem A.5 that $D(T)^{\phi=1}=H^1_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K,T)_{\mathrm{tors}}$, the torsion submodule of $H^1_{\tilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(K,T)$.

If we now take W-invariance of the exact sequence 13, use the fact that taking W-invariance is an exact functor; use Theorem A.8, Remark A.3 and Proposition A.7 we obtain:

Theorem A.8.(see [CC99])

- **(i)** *$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)_{\mathrm{tors}}\cong T^{H_K}$,*
- **(ii)** *The $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$-module $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)/H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is free of rank $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\cdot\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T$.*

REFERENCES

[Ben00] Denis Benois. On Iwasawa theory of crystalline representations. *Duke Math. J.*, 104(2):211–267, 2000.

[Ber02] Laurent Berger. Représentations p-adiques et équations différentielles. *Invent. Math.*, 148(2):219–284, 2002.

[Büy07a] Kâzım Büyükboduk. Kolyvagin systems of Stark units, 2007. 26pp., submitted. http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0703426.

[Büy07b] Kâzım Büyükboduk. *Kolyvagin systems over an Iwasawa algebra.* PhD thesis, Stanford University, Department of Mathematics, June 2007.

[Büy07c] Kâzım Büyükboduk. Stark units and main conjectures over totally real number fields, 2007. In preparation.

[CC99] Frédéric Cherbonnier and Pierre Colmez. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques d'un corps local. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):241–268, 1999.

[Col98] Pierre Colmez. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations de de Rham d'un corps local. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(2):485–571, 1998.

[DR80] Pierre Deligne and Kenneth A. Ribet. Values of abelian L-functions at negative integers over totally real fields. *Invent. Math.*, 59(3):227–286, 1980.

[dS87] Ehud de Shalit. *Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves with complex multiplication*, volume 3 of *Perspectives in Mathematics.* Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1987. p-adic L functions.

[Fon90] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux. I. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, volume 87 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 249–309. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[FPR94] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Autour des conjectures de Bloch et Kato: cohomologie galoisienne et valeurs de fonctions L. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 599–706. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Gre89] Ralph Greenberg. Iwasawa theory for p-adic representations. In *Algebraic number theory*, volume 17 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 97–137. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.

[Gre94] Ralph Greenberg. Trivial zeros of p-adic L-functions. In *p-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991)*, volume 165 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 149–174. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Her98] Laurent Herr. Sur la cohomologie galoisienne des corps p-adiques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 126(4):563–600, 1998.

[Her01] Laurent Herr. Une approche nouvelle de la dualité locale de Tate. *Math. Ann.*, 320(2):307–337, 2001.

[Iwa64] Kenkichi Iwasawa. On some modules in the theory of cyclotomic fields. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 16:42–82, 1964.

[Iwa68] Kenkichi Iwasawa. On explicit formulas for the norm residue symbol. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 20:151–165, 1968.

[Kat04a] Kazuya Kato. p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms. *Astérisque*, (295):ix, 117–290, 2004. Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques. III.

[Kat04b] Kazuya Kato. p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms. *Astérisque*, (295):ix, 117–290, 2004. Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques. III.

[Maz72] Barry Mazur. Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields. *Invent. Math.*, 18:183–266, 1972.

[MR04] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin. Kolyvagin systems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 168(799):viii+96, 2004.

[MTT86] B. Mazur, J. Tate, and J. Teitelbaum. On p-adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):1–48, 1986.

[MW84] B. Mazur and A. Wiles. Class fields of abelian extensions of $\mathbf{Q}$. *Invent. Math.*, 76(2):179–330, 1984.

[Och05] Tadashi Ochiai. Euler system for Galois deformations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 55(1):113–146, 2005.

[PR94a] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. La fonction L p-adique de Kubota-Leopoldt. In *Arithmetic geometry (Tempe, AZ, 1993)*, volume 174 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 65–93. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[PR94b] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques sur un corps local. *Invent. Math.*, 115(1):81–161, 1994. With an appendix by Jean-Marc Fontaine.

[PR95] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Fonctions L p-adiques des représentations p-adiques. *Astérisque*, (229):198, 1995.

[PR98] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Systèmes d'Euler p-adiques et théorie d'Iwasawa. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 48(5):1231–1307, 1998.

[Rub96] Karl Rubin. A Stark conjecture "over $\mathbf{Z}$" for abelian L-functions with multiple zeros. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 46(1):33–62, 1996.

[Rub00] Karl Rubin. *Euler systems*, volume 147 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000. Hermann Weyl Lectures. The Institute for Advanced Study.

[Was82] Lawrence C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[Wil90] Andrew Wiles. The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 131(3):493–540, 1990.

Kazim Buyukboduk
Department of Mathematics
450 Serra Mall, Bldg 380
Stanford, CA, 94305
USA
E-mail address: `kazim@math.stanford.edu`
Web page: `math.stanford.edu/~kazim`